APPENDIX C

OTHER PLANNING DOCUMENTS

Wilkes County Emergency Management Agency

Emergency Operations Plan

Plan Approved: 26-NOV-12

Revised: 31-AUG-16

Local Resolution

LOCAL GOVERNMENT RESOLUTION FOR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

Revision 7/8/16

SECTION I - DEFINITION

"Emergency Management means the preparation for the carrying out of all emergency functions other than functions for which military forces are primarily responsible to prevent, minimize, and repair injury and damage resulting from emergencies, energy emergencies, disasters, or the imminent threat thereof, of manmade or natural origin"... "These functions include, without limitation, fire-fighting services; police services [public safety]; medical and health services; rescue; engineering; warning services; communications; defense from radiological, chemical, and other special weapons; evacuation of persons from stricken areas; emergency welfare services; emergency transportation; [nuclear power] plant protection; temporary restoration of public service utility services; and other functions related to civilian protection, together with all other activities necessary or incidental to the preparation for and carrying out of the foregoing functions." *(Georgia Emergency Management Act of 1981, As Amended December 1992, Chapter 3, Article 1, 38-3-3.)*

SECTION II - LOCAL ORGANIZATION FOR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

"In cases where a county has an organization for emergency management, such organization shall include participation by each city within the county unless the governing authority of any particular city elects to implement its own organization for emergency management. Any two or more of the above-mentioned political subdivisions may, with the approval of the director, contract with each other so as to form one emergency management organization for the entire area included in the bounds of the contracting political subdivisions. The executive officer or governing body of the political subdivision is authorized to nominate a local director to the director of emergency management who shall have the authority to make the appointment." Upon appointment, the local emergency management agency director shall have direct responsibility for the organization, administration, and operations of the local organization for emergency management, subject to the direction and control of the executive officer or governing body and shall serve at the pleasure of such executive officer or governing body. The local director shall:

- maintain an emergency management office in a building owned or leased by the political subdivision and the director or designee shall be available or on call at all times beyond working hours
- develop, in conjunction with public and private agencies/organizations that have responsibility for designated emergency support functions, plans for responding to and recovering from disasters [and/or emergencies]
- respond to emergency scenes, command posts, and operation centers
- coordinate emergency response of public and private agencies and organizations
- attend training and meetings convened by the appointing authority or the (state emergency management) director

- develop or cause to be developed, in collaboration with other public and private agencies within the state, mutual aid arrangements, consistent with state plans and programs, for reciprocal emergency management aid and assistance in case of emergency or disaster too great to be dealt with unassisted
- enter into mutual aid agreements, subject to approval of the Governor, with emergency management agencies or organizations in other states for reciprocal emergency management aid and assistance in case of emergency or disaster too great to be dealt with unassisted (Chapter 3, Article 3, 38-3-27 and 38-3-29.)

SECTION III - LOCAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT POWERS

Each political subdivision shall have the emergency management power and authority to: appropriate and expend funds; execute contracts; obtain and distribute equipment, materials, and supplies; provide for the health and safety of persons and property, including emergency assistance to victims; direct and coordinate development of local emergency management plans and programs in accordance with federal and state policies and plans; appoint, employ, remove or provide, with or without compensation, chiefs of services, warning personnel, rescue teams, auxiliary fire and police personnel, and other emergency management workers; establish a primary and one or more secondary control centers to serve as command posts; and acquire, temporarily or permanently, by purchase, lease or otherwise [identify] sites required for installation of temporary housing units and prepare or equip such sites. (Chapter 3, Article 2, 38-3-27.)

SECTION IV-LOCAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

A county or municipality shall be entitled to receive [federal disaster] funds if the local emergency management organization has met all state and federal requirements to receive such funds. Qualifications include: *legal establishment of an emergency management organization by local ordinance or resolution; a legally appointed local director who has been endorsed and appointed by the Georgia Emergency Management Director; an approved emergency and disaster plan with all applicable annexes [Emergency Support Functions]; and an approved fiscal year program and other necessary compliance documents. (Chapter 3, Article 2, 38-3-27.)*

SECTION V - IMMUNITY OF STATE AND POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

"Neither the state nor any political subdivision of the state, nor the agents or representatives of the state or any political subdivision thereof, shall be liable for personal injury or property damage sustained by any person appointed or acting as a volunteer emergency management worker or member of any agency engaged in emergency management activity." *Immunity does not apply in cases of willful misconduct, gross negligence or bad faith.* (*Chapter 3, Article 2, 38-3-35.*)

SECTION VI - LOCAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PLAN

The Washington-Wilkes Emergency Management Agency has developed, in partnership with local government and community agencies/organizations which have primary responsibility for emergency support functions, an approved emergency management plan. A copy of this plan and/or major revisions are being submitted to the Georgia Emergency Management Agency by the local Emergency Management Agency Director, in coordination with the undersigned local government officials or

legally appointed successors. It is understood that the Georgia Emergency Management Agency will review this plan for compliance with all federal and state requirements.

As authorized local government officials, we understand and agree to the requirements of the Georgia Emergency Management Act of 1981, as amended, as stated in this resolution.

28 7-13-14

Date

Signature

Oh

Karen M. Burton 7-8-16 Signature Date County Clerk.

Title

Title

All applicable elected local government officials to include the Chairperson of the County Commission, Mayor(s) of Municipalities, and/or Chief Executive Officer for the jurisdiction(s) should sign this resolution.

RECORD OF REVISIONS

Date	Author	Section	Detail
08-31-2016 01:49:40	Wilkes	Local Government	
08-31-2016 01:49:21	Wilkes	Local Government	
08-31-2016 01:40:43	Wilkes	Local Government	
08-31-2016 01:39:31	Wilkes	Government Officials	
08-31-2016 01:39:11	Wilkes	Local Government	
08-31-2016 01:37:56	Wilkes	Local Government	
08-31-2016 01:36:58	Wilkes	Local Government	
08-31-2016 01:36:12	Wilkes	Local Government	
08-31-2016 01:35:04	Wilkes	Local Government	
08-31-2016 01:33:37	Wilkes	Government Officials	
08-31-2016 01:33:32	Wilkes	Government Officials	
08-31-2016 01:33:25	Wilkes	Government Officials	
08-31-2016 01:32:59	Wilkes	Government Officials	
08-31-2016 01:32:24	Wilkes	Local Government	
08-31-2016 01:31:18	Wilkes	Government Officials	
08-31-2016 01:31:10	Wilkes	Government Officials	
08-31-2016 01:30:50	Wilkes	Local Government	
07-08-2016 11:32:07	Wilkes	Local Resolution	
07-08-2016 11:31:50	Wilkes	Local Resolution	
06-08-2016 04:55:21	Wilkes	Agencies	
06-08-2016 04:54:20	Wilkes	ESF 15	
06-08-2016 04:51:31	Wilkes	ESF 15	
06-08-2016 04:44:17	Wilkes	ESF 15	
06-08-2016 04:31:11	Wilkes	ESF 15	
06-08-2016 04:31:00	Wilkes	ESF 15	
06-08-2016 04:26:46	Wilkes	ESF 15	
06-08-2016 04:25:51	Wilkes	ESF 15	
06-08-2016 04:25:09	Wilkes	ESF 11	
06-08-2016 04:24:45	Wilkes	ESF 11	
06-08-2016 03:50:15	Wilkes	ESF 11	
06-08-2016 03:50:09	Wilkes	ESF 11	

06-08-2016 03:49:21	Wilkes	ESF 10
06-08-2016 03:48:30	Wilkes	ESF 9
06-08-2016 03:47:03	Wilkes	ESF 8
06-07-2016 04:29:43	Wilkes	Agencies
06-07-2016 04:23:02	Wilkes	Agencies
06-07-2016 04:21:46	Wilkes	Agencies
06-07-2016 04:15:37	Wilkes	Agencies
06-07-2016 04:15:14	Wilkes	Agencies
06-07-2016 04:14:11	Wilkes	Agencies
06-07-2016 04:08:55	Wilkes	Agencies
06-07-2016 04:05:02	Wilkes	Agencies
06-07-2016 04:00:48	Wilkes	Agencies
06-06-2016 04:51:43	Wilkes	Agencies
06-06-2016 04:48:53	Wilkes	Agencies
06-06-2016 04:41:02	Wilkes	Agencies
06-06-2016 04:34:31	Wilkes	Agencies
06-06-2016 04:22:48	Wilkes	Agencies
06-06-2016 04:02:39	Wilkes	Agencies
06-06-2016 03:50:31	Wilkes	Agencies

Distribution List

Agency	Number of Copies
American Red Cross Augusta Cluster Chapter	1
City of Rayle	1
City of Tignall	1 1
City of Washington	-
City of Washington Electric Dept.	0
City of Washington Street Department	0
City of Washington Water and Sewer Departmen	
Georgia Baptist Disaster Team	0
Georgia Power Company	1
	1
Rayle Fire Dept	1
Stevenson and Palmer	0
Tignall Fire Dept	1
Tignall Police Dept	0
WASHINGTON-WILKES 911	1
Washington Fire Dept.	1
Washington-Wilkes Animal Shelter	0
Wilkes County Board of Commissioners	1
Wilkes County Board of Education	1
Wilkes County Coroner	1
Wilkes County DFCS	1
Wilkes County EMA	1
Wilkes County EMS	1
Wilkes County Extension Service	1
Wilkes County Fire Service	1
Wilkes County Health Dept.	1
Wilkes County Road Department	0
Wilkes County Sheriff's Dept.	1
Wilkes County Veterinary Service	0
Wilkes Transit Service	0
Wills Memorial Hospital	1
	0

Wilkes County EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLAN

Local Resolution

Record of Revisions

Distribution List

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface 1
Basic Plan
I. Introduction
Summary Purpose Scope and Applicability Key Concepts
II. Planning Assumptions and Considerations
Emergency Declaration Process Flow Chart
III. Roles and Responsibilities12
Local Government Responsibilities Emergency Support Functions Nongovernmental and Volunteer Organizations Private Sector Citizen Involvement Citizen Corps Response Flow Chart Recovery Flow Chart
IV. Concept of Operations 18
Phases of Emergency Management
V. Direction and Control 20
Continuity of Government/Continuity of Operations
VI. Incident Management Actions

Services and Resources Commitment of Services and Resources Local Involvement State Involvement Standard Operating Procedures Emergency Operations Local Responsibilities Response Flow Chart Recovery Flow Chart	
VII. Plan Development and Maintenance	25
Plan Maintenance EOP Supporting Documents National Incident Management System State and Local emergency Operations Plans Hazard Mitigation Plans Private Sector Plans Nongovernmental and Volunteer Organization Plans Planning and Operations Procedures	
Emergency Support Functions	
ESF 1 - Transportation	
ESF 2 - Communications	
ESF 3 - Public Works and Engineering	
ESF 4 - Firefighting	44
ESF 5 - Emergency Management Services	49
ESF 6 - Mass Care, Housing and Human Services	
ESF 7 - Resource Support	
ESF 8 - Public Health and Medical Services	
ESF 9 - Search and Rescue	75
ESF 10 - Hazardous Materials	
ESF 11 - Agriculture and Natural Resources	
ESF 12 - Energy	95
ESF 13 - Public Safety and Security Services	101
ESF 14 - Long-Term Recovery and Mitigation	
ESF 15 - External Affairs	111

Appendices

A. Acronyms	16
B. Authorities and References1	17
C. Emergency Support Function Activation Checklist	18
D. Glossary1	19
E. ESF Matrix of Primary and Support Agencies	24
F. ESF Summary of Responsibilities	26
G. Area Map14	41
H. Map of School Safety Coordinator Areas14	42
I. Hazmat Facilities	43
J. Emergency Shelter	46
Agency Contacts	50
Local Maps (published separately)	

PREFACE

This Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) describes the management and coordination of resources and personnel during periods of major emergency. This comprehensive local emergency operations plan is developed to ensure mitigation and preparedness, appropriate response and timely recovery from natural and man made hazards which may affect residents of Wilkes County.

This plan supersedes the Emergency Operations Plan dated from old eLEOP. It incorporates guidance from the Georgia Emergency Management Agency (GEMA) as well as lessons learned from disasters and emergencies that have threatened Wilkes County. The Plan will be updated at the latest, every four years. The plan:

- Defines emergency response in compliance with the State-mandated Emergency Operations Plan process.
- Establishes emergency response policies that provide Departments and Agencies with guidance for the coordination and direction of municipal plans and procedures.
- Provides a basis for unified training and response exercises.

The plan consists of the following components:

- The Basic Plan describes the structure and processes comprising a county approach to incident management designed to integrate the efforts of municipal governments, the private sector, and non-governmental organizations. The Basic Plan includes the: purpose, situation, assumptions, concept of operations, organization, assignment of responsibilities, administration, logistics, planning and operational activities.
- Appendices provide other relevant supporting information, including terms, definitions, and authorities.
- Emergency Support Function Annexes detail the missions, policies, structures, and responsibilities of County agencies for coordinating resource and programmatic support to municipalities during Incidents of Critical Significance.
- Support Annexes prescribe guidance and describe functional processes and administrative requirements necessary to ensure efficient and effective implementation of incident management objectives.
- Incident Annexes address contingency or hazard situations requiring specialized application of the EOP. The Incident Annexes describe the missions, policies, responsibilities, and coordination processes that govern the interaction of public and private entities engaged in incident management and emergency response operations across a spectrum of potential hazards. Due to security precautions and changing nature of their operational procedures, these Annexes, their supporting plans, and operational supplements are published separately.

The following is a summary of the 15 Emergency Support Functions:

- 1. *Transportation*: Support and assist municipal, county, private sector, and voluntary organizations requiring transportation for an actual or potential Incident of Critical Significance.
- 2. *Communications*: Ensures the provision of communications support to municipal, county, and private-sector response efforts during an Incident of Critical Significance.
- 3. *Public Works and Engineering*: Coordinates and organizes the capabilities and resources of the municipal and county governments to facilitate the delivery of services, technical assistance, engineering expertise, construction management, and other support to prevent, prepare for, respond to, and/or recover from an Incident of Critical Significance.
- 4. *Firefighting*: Enable the detection and suppression of wild-land, rural, and urban fires resulting from, or occurring coincidentally with an Incident of Critical Significance.
- 5. *Emergency Management Services*: Responsible for supporting overall activities of the County Government for County incident management.
- 6. *Mass Care, Housing and Human Services*: Supports County-wide, municipal, and non-governmental organization efforts to address non-medical mass care, housing, and human services needs of individuals and/or families impacted by Incidents of Critical Significance.
- 7. *Resource Support*: Supports volunteer services, County agencies, and municipal governments tracking, providing, and/or requiring resource support before, during, and/or after Incidents of Critical Significance.
- 8. *Public Health and Medical Services*: Provide the mechanism for coordinated County assistance to supplement municipal resources in response to public health and medical care needs (to include veterinary and/or animal health issues when appropriate) for potential or actual Incidents of Critical Significance and/or during a developing potential health and medical situation.
- 9. Search and Rescue: Rapidly deploy components of the National US Response System to provide specialized life-saving assistance to municipal authorities during an Incident of Critical Significance.
- 10. *Hazardous Materials*: Coordinate County support in response to an actual or potential discharge and/or uncontrolled release of oil or hazardous materials during Incidents of Critical Significance.
- 11. Agriculture and Natural Resources: supports County and authorities and other agency efforts to address: Provision of nutrition assistance; control and eradication of an outbreak of a highly contagious or economically devastating animal/zoonotic

disease; assurance of food safety and food security and; protection of natural and cultural resources and historic properties.

- 12. *Energy*: Restore damaged energy systems and components during a potential of actual Incident of Critical Significance.
- 13. *Public Safety and Security Services*: Integrates County public safety and security capabilities and resources to support the full range of incident management activities associated with potential or actual Incidents of Critical Significance.
- 14. Long Term Recovery and Mitigation: Provides a framework for County Government support to municipal governments, nongovernmental organizations, and the private sector designed to enable community recovery from the long-term consequences of an Incident of Critical Significance.
- 15. *External Affairs*: Ensures that sufficient County assets are deployed to the field during a potential or actual Incident of Critical Significance to provide accurate, coordinated, and timely information to affected audiences, including governments, media, the private sector, and the populace.

2014 State of Georgia Hazard Mitigation Strategy













Georgia Hazard Mitigation Strategy

Standard and Enhanced Plan

Effective April 1, 2014-March 31, 2017



Prepared by the Georgia Emergency Management Agency

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Introduction to Planning Process	1
1.1 OVERVIEW AND PURPOSE	1
1.2 STATE ADOPTION AND FEDERAL STATUTE COMPLIANCE	3
1.2.1 State Adoption	3
1.2.2 Federal Statute Compliance	3
1.3 PLANNING PROCESS	3 3 3 3 3 6
1.3.1 Plan Update Narrative	3
1.3.2 State Plan Update Participants	6
1.3.3 Plan Review and Revisions	7
1.3.4 Post-Disaster Review	9
1.4 COORDINATION AMONG AGENCIES	9
1.4.1 State and Federal Agency Participation	9
1.4.2 Changes in Participant Coordination	9
1.5 PROGRAM INTEGRATION	11
1.5.1 State Planning Programs	11
1.5.2 FEMA Mitigation Programs	12
Chapter 2: Hazard, Risk, and Vulnerability Assessment	13
2.1 OVERVIEW	13
2.2 DEFINITION OF TERMS	14
2.3 METHODOLOGY	16
2.3.1 2014 Risk Assessment	16
2.3.2 Hazard Risk Ranking	16
2.4 OVERVIEW OF NATURAL HAZARDS IN GEORGIA	19
2.4.1 Introduction	19
2.4.2 Hazard Profiling and Characteristics	19
2.4.3 Presidential Declared Disasters	23
2.5 HAZARD-SPECIFIC ASSESSMENTS	24
2.5.1 Hurricane Wind	25
2.5.2 Coastal Hazards	30
2.5.3 Wind	34
2.5.4 Severe Weather	37
2.5.5 Tornadoes	40
2.5.6 Inland Flooding	44
2.5.7 Severe Winter Weather	49
2.5.8 Drought	53
2.5.9 Wildfire	57
2.5.10 Earthquake	62
2.5.11 Geologic Hazards	69
2.5.12 Dam Failure	73
2.6 SOCIAL VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT	78
2.6.1 Methods	78
2.6.2 Assessing Vulnerability by Jurisdiction	79
2.7 COMPOSITE ASSESSMENT	80

2.8 LOSS POTENTIAL	83
2.8.1 Estimating Potential Losses by Jurisdiction	84
2.8.2 Assessing Vulnerability of State Facilities	86
2.8.3 Repetitive Loss Properties	89
Chapter 3: State Mitigation Strategy	93
3.1 OVERVIEW	93
3.2 GEORGIA MITIGATION STRATEGY	94
3.2.1 Overview	94
3.2.2 Review and Assessment of 2011 GHMS Goals	95
3.2.3 Updating the Mitigation Action Plan	96
3.2.4 Local Plan Review	99
3.2.5 Action Plan	100
3.3 STATE CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT	114
3.3.1 State Policies and Programs	115
3.3.2 State Capability Related to Development	120
3.4 LOCAL CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT	121
3.4.1 Local Mitigation Policies: Building Codes and Zoning	
And Floodplain Development Regulations and	
Mitigation Planning	123
3.4.2 Community Rating System	125
3.5 STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING SOURCES	127
Chapter 4: Coordination of Local Mitigation Planning	129
4.1 LOCAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	129
4.1.1 Plan Development Process	129
4.1.2 Local Planning Tools	134
4.2 LOCAL FUNDING	134
4.2.1 Disaster Related Mitigation Programs	135
4.2.2 Non-Disaster Related Mitigation Programs	135
4.2.3 Other Mitigation Funding Programs	136
4.3 LOCAL PLAN INTEGRATION	136
4.4 PRIORITIZING LOCAL ASSISTANCE	137
4.4.1 Prioritization of Local Plan Updates	137
4.4.2 Prioritization of Local Plan Funding	139
4.4.3 Prioritization of Project Funding	139
4.4.4 Repetitive Loss Properties	140
4.4.5 Coordination with Repetitive Loss Jurisdictions	145
Chapter 5: Plan Maintenance	147
5.1 MONITORING, EVALUATING, AND UPDATING THE PLAN	147
5.2 MONITORING PROGRESS OF MITIGATION ACTIVITIES	149
Chapter 6: Enhanced Plan	151
6.1 INTEGRATION WITH OTHER PLANNING INITIATIVES	151
6.1.1 Integration with Other Planning Initiatives	151

6.1.2 Integration with Regional Planning Initiatives	153
6.1.3 Integration with Federal Programs and Planning	
Initiatives	158
6.2 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION CAPABILITY	168
6.2.1 Eligibility Criteria	168
6.2.2 Cost Effectiveness Determination	170
6.2.3 System to Rank Projects	172
6.3 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY	174
6.3.1 Meet HMA Application Timeframe and Submission of	
Eligible Project Applications	176
6.3.2 Preparing and Submitting Accurate Environmental	
Reviews and Benefit-Cost Analysis	180
6.3.3 Quarterly Reports	181
6.3.4 Grant Completion and Closeout	183
6.4 ASSESSMENT OF MITIGATION ACTIONS	184
6.4.1 System to Track the Assessment of Mitigation Actions	184
6.4.2 Strategy to Assess Mitigation Actions	185
6.5 EFFECTIVE USE OF AVAILABLE MITIGATION FUNDING	187
6.6 COMMITMENT TO A COMPREHENSIVE MITIGATION PROGRAM	194
6.6.1 Local Mitigation Planning Support	194
6.6.2 Statewide Program of Hazard Mitigation	194
6.6.3 State Match Assistance for Mitigation Programs	196
6.6.4 Construction Standards for Mitigation	197
6.6.5 Mitigating Risks to Critical and Essential Facilities	197
6.6.6 Integrating Mitigation to Post Disaster Recovery	
Operations	1 9 8
APPENDIX A: Adoption and Approval Letters	

APPENDIX B: Planning Process Documentation

APPENDIX C: Risk Ranking Methodology

APPENDIX D: Additional Risk Information

APPENDIX E: Mitigation Strategy Documentation

APPENDIX F: Coordination of Local Planning Documentation

APPENDIX G: List of Tables and Figures

APPENDIX H: Enhanced Plan Information

APPENDIX I: Georgia Disaster Resilient Construction Codes

APPENDIX J: Georgia State Laws Relating to Mitigation



Hazard Risk Analyses Supplement to the Wilkes County Joint Hazard Mitigation Plan



Carl Vinson Institute of Government UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
Introduction	4
Risk Assessment Process Overview	
County Inventory Changes	4
General Building Stock Updates	5
Essential Facility Updates	6
Assumptions and Exceptions	8
Hurricane Risk Assessment	9
Hazard Definition	9
Probabilistic Hurricane Scenario	
Wind Damage Assessment	
Wind-Related Building Damages	
Essential Facility Losses	14
Shelter Requirements	14
Debris Generated from Hurricane Wind	14
Flood Risk Assessment	
Hazard Definition	16
Riverine 1% Flood Scenario	
Riverine 1% Flood Building Damages	
Riverine 1% Flood Essential Facility Losses	20
Riverine 1% Flood Shelter Requirements	20
Riverine 1% Flood Debris	22
Tornado Risk Assessment	
Hazard Definition	23
Hypothetical Tornado Scenario	24
EF3 Tornado Building Damages	27
EF3 Tornado Essential Facility Damage	
Exceptions Report	
Statewide Inventory Changes	29

County Inventory Changes	29
General Building Stock Updates	29
User Defined Facilities	31

List of Tables

Table 1: GBS Building Exposure Updates by Occupancy Class*	. 5
Table 2: Updated Essential Facilities	. 7
Table 3: Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale	. 9
Table 4: Tropical Systems affecting Wilkes County	. 9
Table 5: Hurricane Wind Building Damage	13
Table 6: Wind-Damaged Essential Facility Losses	14
Table 7: Displaced Households and People	14
Table 8: Wind-Related Debris Weight (Tons)	15
Table 9: Wilkes County Riverine 1% Building Losses	18
Table 10: Enhanced Fujita Tornado Rating	23
Table 11: Tornado Path Widths and Damage Curves	24
Table 12: EF3 Tornado Zones and Damage Curves	25
Table 13: Estimated Building Losses by Occupancy Type	28
Table 14: Essential Facility Updates	29
Table 15: Building Inventory Default Adjustment Rates	30
Table 16: User Defined Facility Exposure	31

List of Figures

Figure 1: Wilkes County Overview	6
Figure 2: Continental United States Hurricane Strikes: 1950 to 2011	11
Figure 3: Wind Speeds by Storm Category	12
Figure 4: Hurricane Wind Building Loss Ratios	13
Figure 5: Wind-Related Debris Weight (Tons)	15
Figure 6: Riverine 1% Flood Inundation	17
Figure 7: Wilkes County Potential Loss Ratios of Total Building Exposure to Losses Sustained to Bui from the 1% Riverine Flood by 2010 Census Block	•
Figure 8: Wilkes County Damaged Buildings in Riverine Floodplain (1% Flood)	20
Figure 9: Riverine 1% Estimated Flood Shelter Requirements	21
Figure 10: Riverine 1% Flood Debris Weight (Tons)	22

Figure 11: EF Scale Tornado Zones	25
Figure 12: Hypothetical EF3 Tornado Path in Wilkes County	26
Figure 13: Modeled EF3 Tornado Damage Buffers in Wilkes County	27

Introduction

The Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K) requires state, local, and tribal governments to develop and maintain a mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster assistance and hazard mitigation funding programs.

Mitigation seeks to reduce a hazard's impacts, which may include loss of life, property damage, disruption to local and regional economies, and the expenditure of public and private funds for recovery. Sound mitigation must be based on a sound risk assessment that quantifies the potential losses of a disaster by assessing the vulnerability of buildings, infrastructure, and people.

In recognition of the importance of planning in mitigation activities, FEMA developed Hazus-MH, a powerful disaster risk assessment tool based on geographic information systems (GIS). This tool enables communities of all sizes to predict estimated losses from floods, hurricanes, earthquakes, and other related phenomena and to measure the impact of various mitigation practices that might help reduce those losses.

In 2017, the Georgia Department of Emergency Management partnered with The Carl Vinson Institute of Government at the University of Georgia to develop a detailed risk assessment focused on defining hurricane, riverine flood, and tornado risks in Wilkes County, Georgia. This assessment identifies the characteristics and potential consequences of the disaster, how much of the community could be affected by the disaster, and the impact on community assets.

Risk Assessment Process Overview

Hazus-MH Version 2.2 SP1 was used to perform the analyses for Wilkes County. The Hazus-MH application includes default data for every county in the US. This Hazus-MH data was derived from a variety of national sources and in some cases the data are also several years old. Whenever possible, using local provided data is preferred. Wilkes County provided building inventory information from the county's property tax assessment system. This section describes the changes made to the default Hazus-MH inventory and the modeling parameters used for each scenario.

County Inventory Changes

The default Hazus-MH site-specific point inventory was updated using data compiled from the Georgia Emergency Management Agency (GEMA). The default Hazus-MH aggregate inventory (General Building Stock) was also updated prior to running the scenarios. Reported losses reflect the updated data sets.

General Building Stock Updates

General Building Stock (GBS) is an inventory category that consists of aggregated data (grouped by census geography — tract or block). Hazus-MH generates a combination of sitespecific and aggregated loss estimates based on the given analysis and user input. The GBS records for Wilkes County were replaced with data derived from parcel and property assessment data obtained from Wilkes County. The county provided property assessment data was current as of April 2017 and the parcel data current as of April 2017. Records without improvements were deleted. The parcel boundaries were converted to parcel points located in the centroids of each parcel boundary; then, each parcel point was linked to an assessor record based upon matching parcel numbers. The parcel assessor match-rate for Wilkes County is 99.7%. The

generated building inventory represents the approximate locations (within a parcel) of structures. The building inventory was aggregated by census block. Both the tract and block tables were updated. Table 1 shows the results of the changes to the GBS tables by occupancy class.

General Occupancy	Default Hazus-MH Count	Updated Count	Default Hazus-MH Exposure	Updated Exposure
Agricultural	43	15	\$9,538,000	\$7,834,000
Commercial	318	304	\$177,335,000	\$214,503,000
Education	8	32	\$9,705,000	\$106,003,000
Government	9	43	\$4,472,000	\$25,709,000
Industrial	92	126	\$79,750,000	\$221,575,000
Religious	71	102	\$47,692,000	\$58,675,000
Residential	4,958	5,321	\$731,853,000	\$702,226,000
Total	5,499	5,943	\$1,060,345,000	\$1,336,525,000

Table 1: GBS Building Exposure Updates by Occupancy Class*

*The exposure values represent the total number and replacement cost for all Wilkes County Buildings

For Wilkes County, the updated GBS was used to calculate hurricane wind losses. The flood losses and tornado losses were calculated from building inventory modeled in Hazus-MH as User-Defined Facility

(UDF)¹, or site-specific points. Figure 1 shows the distribution of buildings as points based on the county provided data.



Figure 1: Wilkes County Overview

Essential Facility Updates

The default Hazus-MH essential facility data was updated to reflect improved information available in the Georgia Mitigation Information System (GMIS) as of April 12th, 2017. For these risk analyses, only GMIS data for buildings that Hazus-MH classified as Essential Facilities was integrated into Hazus-MH because the application provides specialized reports for these five facilities. Essential Facility inventory was

Essential facilities include:

- Care facilities
- EOCs
- Fire stations
- Police stations
- Schools

updated for the analysis conducted for this report. The following table summarizes the counts and exposures, where available, by Essential Facility classification of the updated data.

¹ The UDF inventory category in Hazus-MH allows the user to enter site-specific data in place of GBS data.

Classification	Updated Count	Updated Exposure
	Rayle	
EOC	0	\$0
Care	0	\$0
Fire	1	\$147,000
Police	0	\$0
School	0	\$0
Total	1	\$147,000
	Tignall	
EOC	0	\$0
Care	0	\$0
Fire	1	\$135,000
Police	0	\$0
School	0	\$0
Total	1	\$135,000
	Washington	
EOC	1	\$400,000
Care	4	\$32,802,000
Fire	1	\$472,000
Police	1	\$1,935,000
School	2	\$19,114,000
Total	9	\$54,723,000
Unir	ncorporated Areas of Wilkes	County
EOC	0	\$0
Care	0	\$0
Fire	4	\$550,000
Police	0	\$0
School	2	\$30,000,000

Table 2: Updated Essential Facilities

Assumptions and Exceptions

Hazus-MH loss estimates may be impacted by certain assumptions and process variances made in this risk assessment.

- The Wilkes County analysis used Hazus-MH Version 2.2 SP1, which was released by FEMA in May 2015.
- County provided parcel and property assessment data may not fully reflect all buildings in the county. For example, some counties do not report not-for-profit buildings such as government buildings, schools and churches in their property assessment data. This data was used to update the General Building Stock as well as the User Defined Facilities applied in this risk assessment.
- Georgia statute requires that the Assessor's Office assign a code to all of the buildings on a
 parcel based on the buildings primary use. If there is a residential or a commercial structure on a
 parcel and there are also agricultural buildings on the same parcel Hazus-MH looks at the
 residential and commercial "primary" structures first and then combines the value of all
 secondary structures on that parcel with the value of the primary structure. The values and
 building counts are still accurate but secondary structures are accounted for under the same
 classification as the primary structure. Because of this workflow, the only time that a parcel
 would show a value for an agricultural building is when there are no residential or commercial
 structures on the parcel thus making the agricultural building the primary structure. This is the
 reason that agricultural building counts and total values seem low or are nonexistent.
- GBS updates from assessor data will skew loss calculations. The following attributes were defaulted or calculated:

Foundation Type was set from Occupancy Class First Floor Height was set from Foundation Type Content Cost was calculated from Replacement Cost

- It is assumed that the buildings are located at the centroid of the parcel.
- The essential facilities extracted from the GMIS were only used in the portion of the analysis designated as essential facility damage. They were not used in the update of the General Building Stock or the User Defined Facility inventory.

The hazard models included in this risk assessment included:

- Hurricane assessment which was comprised of a wind only damage assessment.
- Flood assessment based on the 1% annual chance event that includes riverine assessments.
- Tornado assessment based on GIS modeling.

Hurricane Risk Assessment

Hazard Definition

The National Hurricane Center describes a hurricane as a tropical cyclone in which the maximum sustained wind is, at minimum, 74 miles per hour (mph)². The term hurricane is used for Northern Hemisphere tropical cyclones east of the International Dateline to the Greenwich Meridian. The term typhoon is used for Pacific tropical cyclones north of the Equator west of the International Dateline. Hurricanes in the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean form between June and November with the peak of hurricane season occurring in the middle of September. Hurricane intensities are measured using the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale (Table 3). This scale is a 1 to 5 categorization based on the hurricane's intensity at the indicated time.

Hurricanes bring a complex set of impacts. The winds from a hurricane produce a rise in the water level at landfall called storm surge. Storm surges produce coastal flooding effects that can be as damaging as the hurricane's winds. Hurricanes bring very intense inland riverine flooding. Hurricanes can also produce tornadoes that can add to the wind damages inland. In this risk assessment, only hurricane winds, and coastal storm surge are considered.

	Category	Wind Speed (mph)	Damage
1		74 - 95	Very dangerous winds will produce some damage
2		96 - 110	Extremely dangerous winds will cause extensive damage
3		111 - 130	Devastating damage will occur
4		131 -155	Catastrophic damage will occur
5		> 155	Catastrophic damage will occur

Table 3: Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Hurricane Center created the HURDAT database, which contains all of the tracks of tropical systems since the mid-1800s. This database was used to document the number of tropical systems that have affected Wilkes County by creating a 20-mile buffer around the county to include storms that didn't make direct landfall in Wilkes County but impacted the county. Since 1859, Wilkes County has had 32 tropical systems within 20 miles of its county borders (Table 4).

Table 4:	Tropical 9	Systems	affecting	Wilkes	Countv ³
Tuble II	in opicul s	ysterns	ancenng	VVIII CJ	county

YEAR	MONTH	DAY	NAME	LAT	LONG	WIND(Knots)	PRESSURE	CAT
1859	September	16	NOTNAMED	33.100	-84.800	40	0	TS
1882	September	10	NOTNAMED	33.000	-84.100	40	0	TS
1882	September	11	NOTNAMED	33.700	-83.300	40	0	TS

² National Hurricane Center (2011). "Glossary of NHC Terms." National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/aboutgloss.shtml#h. Retrieved 2012-23-02.

³ Atlantic Oceanic and Meteorological Laboratory (2012). "Data Center." National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/hrd/data_sub/re_anal.html. Retrieved 7-20-2015.

YEAR	MONTH	DAY	NAME	LAT	LONG	WIND(Knots)	PRESSURE	CAT
1886	June	22	NOTNAMED	32.300	-83.200	45	0	TS
1886	June	22	NOTNAMED	33.800	-82.200	40	0	TS
1889	September	24	NOTNAMED	32.800	-83.900	45	0	TS
1893	October	3	NOTNAMED	33.400	-83.900	45	0	TS
1896	July	8	NOTNAMED	33.300	-83.500	35	0	TS
1901	September	28	NOTNAMED	32.500	-84.000	35	0	Е
1903	September	16	NOTNAMED	33.800	-83.700	30	0	TD
1903	September	16	NOTNAMED	34.000	-83.000	30	0	TD
1912	June	14	NOTNAMED	33.500	-84.500	35	0	Е
1928	August	10	NOTNAMED	32.600	-84.000	30	0	TD
1928	August	11	NOTNAMED	33.500	-82.900	30	0	TD
1933	September	7	NOTNAMED	33.100	-82.800	20	0	TD
1933	September	7	NOTNAMED	33.500	-82.600	20	0	TD
1934	May	30	NOTNAMED	34.400	-83.000	25	0	TD
1934	May	31	NOTNAMED	34.000	-83.000	20	0	TD
1934	May	31	NOTNAMED	33.600	-82.900	20	0	TD
1934	May	31	NOTNAMED	33.400	-82.600	15	0	TD
1947	October	8	NOTNAMED	32.100	-82.600	20	0	TD
1949	August	28	NOTNAMED	33.000	-82.700	40	996	TS
1959	June	2	ARLENE	33.600	-85.300	25	0	TD
1959	June	2	ARLENE	33.800	-83.300	25	0	TD
1968	June	8	ABBY	33.000	-82.200	30	0	TD
1968	June	8	ABBY	33.500	-82.200	30	0	TD
1968	June	8	ABBY	34.000	-82.300	25	0	TD
1990	October	12	MARCO	33.200	-82.600	15	1005	Е
1995	August	27	JERRY	33.800	-83.600	20	1006	TD
1995	August	27	JERRY	33.900	-83.000	20	1006	TD
2004	September	28	JEANNE	33.200	-83.200	25	998	TD
2004	September	28	JEANNE	34.100	-82.400	20	999	TD

Category Definitions:

- TS Tropical storm
- TD Tropical depression
- H1 Category 1 (same format for H2, H3, and H4)
- E Extra-tropical cyclone



Figure 2: Continental United States Hurricane Strikes: 1950 to 2011⁴

⁴ Source: NOAA National Climatic Data Center

Probabilistic Hurricane Scenario

The following probabilistic wind damage risk assessment modeled a Tropical Storm with maximum winds of 71 mph.

Wind Damage Assessment

Separate analyses were performed to determine wind and hurricane storm surge related flood losses. This section describes the wind-based losses to Wilkes County. Wind losses were determined from probabilistic models run for the Tropical Storm, which equates to the 1% chance storm event. Figure 3 shows wind speeds for the modeled Tropical Storm.



Figure 3: Wind Speeds by Storm Category

Wind-Related Building Damages

Buildings in Wilkes County are vulnerable to storm events, and the cost to rebuild may have significant consequences to the community. The following table shows a summary of the results of wind-related

building damage in Wilkes County for the Tropical Storm (100 Year Event). The loss ratio expresses building losses as a percentage of total building replacement cost in the county. Figure 4 illustrates the building loss ratios of the modeled Tropical Storm.

Table 5: Hurricane Wind Building Damage

Classification	Number of Buildings Damaged	Total Building Damage	Total Economic Loss ⁵	Loss Ratio
Tropical Storm	8	\$1,062,410	\$1,063,130	0.06%

Note that wind damaged buildings are not reported by jurisdiction. This is due to the fact that census tract boundaries – upon which hurricane building losses are based – do not closely coincide with jurisdiction boundaries.



Figure 4: Tropical Storm Wind Building Loss Ratios

⁵ Includes property damage (infrastructure, contents, and inventory) as well as business interruption losses.

Essential Facility Losses

Essential facilities are also vulnerable to storm events, and the potential loss of functionality may have significant consequences to the community. Hazus-MH identified the essential facilities that may be moderately or severely damaged by winds. The results are compiled in Table 6.

There are 17 essential facilities in Wilkes County.

Classification	Number
EOCs	1
Fire Stations	7
Care Facilities	4
Police Stations	1
Schools	4

Table 6: Wind-Damaged Essential Facility Losses

Classification	Facilities At Least Moderately Damaged > 50%	Facilities Completely Damaged > 50%	Facilities with Expected Loss of Use (< 1 day)
Tropical Storm	0	0	17

Shelter Requirements

Hazus-MH estimates the number of households evacuated from buildings with severe damage from high velocity winds as well as the number of people who will require short-term sheltering. Since the 1% chance storm event for Wilkes County is a Tropical Storm, the resulting damage is not enough to displace Households or require temporary shelters as shown in the results listed in Table 7.

Table 7: Displaced Households and People

Classification	# of Displaced Households	# of People Needing Short-Term Shelter
Tropical Storm	0	0

Debris Generated from Hurricane Wind

Hazus-MH estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by high velocity hurricane winds and quantifies it into three broad categories to determine the material handling equipment needed:

- Reinforced Concrete and Steel Debris
- Brick and Wood and Other Building Debris

• Tree Debris

Different material handling equipment is required for each category of debris. The estimates of debris for this scenario are listed in Table 8. The amount of hurricane wind related tree debris that is estimated to require pick up at the public's expense is listed in the eligible tree debris column.

Table 8: Wind-Related Debris Weight (Tons)

Classification	Brick, Wood, and Other	Reinforced Concrete and Steel	Eligible Tree Debris	Other Tree Debris	Total
Tropical Storm	63	0	870	25,354	26,287

Figure 5 shows the distribution of all wind related debris resulting from a Tropical Storm. Each dot represents 20 tons of debris within the census tract in which it is located. The dots are randomly distributed within each census tract and therefore do not represent the specific location of debris sites.



Figure 5: Wind-Related Debris Weight (Tons)

Flood Risk Assessment

Hazard Definition

Flooding is a significant natural hazard throughout the United States. The type, magnitude, and severity of flooding are functions of the amount and distribution of precipitation over a given area, the rate at which precipitation infiltrates the ground, the geometry and hydrology of the catchment, and flow dynamics and conditions in and along the river channel. Floods can be classified as one of three types: upstream floods, downstream floods, or coastal floods.

Upstream floods, also called flash floods, occur in the upper parts of drainage basins and are generally characterized by periods of intense rainfall over a short duration. These floods arise with very little warning and often result in locally intense damage, and sometimes loss of life, due to the high energy of the flowing water. Flood waters can snap trees, topple buildings, and easily move large boulders or other structures. Six inches of rushing water can upend a person; another 18 inches might carry off a car. Generally, upstream floods cause damage over relatively localized areas, but they can be quite severe in the local areas in which they occur. Urban flooding is a type of upstream flood. Urban flooding involves the overflow of storm drain systems and can be the result of inadequate drainage combined with heavy rainfall or rapid snowmelt. Upstream or flash floods can occur at any time of the year in Georgia, but they are most common in the spring and summer months.

Downstream floods, also called riverine floods, refer to floods on large rivers at locations with large upstream catchments. Downstream floods are typically associated with precipitation events that are of relatively long duration and occur over large areas. Flooding on small tributary streams may be limited, but the contribution of increased runoff may result in a large flood downstream. The lag time between precipitation and time of the flood peak is much longer for downstream floods than for upstream floods, generally providing ample warning for people to move to safe locations and, to some extent, secure some property against damage.

Coastal floods occurring on the Atlantic and Gulf coasts may be related to hurricanes or other combined offshore, nearshore, and shoreline processes. The effects of these complex interrelationships vary significantly across coastal leading to challenges settings, in the determination of the base (1-percent-annualchance) flood for hazard mapping purposes. Land area covered by floodwaters of the base flood is identified as a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA).

The SFHA is the area where the National Flood Insurance Program's (NFIP) floodplain management regulations must be enforced and the area where the mandatory purchase of flood insurance applies. The owner of a structure in a high-risk area must carry flood insurance, if the owner carries a mortgage from a federally regulated or insured lender or servicer.

The Wilkes County flood risk assessment analyzed at risk structures in the SFHA.

The following probabilistic risk assessment involves an analysis of a 1% annual chance riverine flood event.

Riverine 1% Flood Scenario

Riverine losses were determined from the 1% flood boundaries downloaded from the FEMA Flood Map Service Center in May 2017. The flood boundaries were overlaid with the USGS 10 meter DEM using
the Hazus-MH Enhanced Quick Look tool to generate riverine depth grids. The riverine flood depth grid was then imported into Hazus-MH to calculate the riverine flood loss estimates. Figure 6 illustrates the riverine inundation boundary associated with the 1% annual chance.



Figure 6: Riverine 1% Flood Inundation

Riverine 1% Flood Building Damages

Buildings in Wilkes County are vulnerable to flooding from events equivalent to the 1% riverine flood. The economic and social impacts from a flood of this magnitude can be significant. Table 9 provides a summary of the potential flood-related building damage in Wilkes County by jurisdiction that might be experienced from the 1% flood. Figure 7 maps the potential loss ratios of total building exposure to losses sustained to buildings from the 1% flood by 2010 census block and Figure 8 illustrates the relationship of building locations to the 1% flood inundation boundary.

	Total Buildings in	Total Buildings Damaged in	Total Building	Total Losses to Buildings in	Loss Ratio of Exposed Buildings to Damaged Buildings in
Occupancy	the Jurisdiction	the Jurisdiction	Exposure in the Jurisdiction	the Jurisdiction	the Jurisdiction
		Wa	ashington		
Industrial	66	1	\$76,523,490	\$9,264	0.01%
		Unin	corporated		
Residential	3,182	7	\$355,002,806	\$221,281	0.06%
County Total					
	3,248	8	\$431,526,296	\$230,545	

Table 9: Wilkes County Riverine 1% Building Losses



Figure 7: Wilkes County Potential Loss Ratios of Total Building Exposure to Losses Sustained to Buildings from the 1% Riverine Flood by 2010 Census Block



Figure 8: Wilkes County Damaged Buildings in Riverine Floodplain (1% Flood)

Riverine 1% Flood Essential Facility Losses

An essential facility may encounter many of the same impacts as other buildings within the flood boundary. These impacts can include structural failure, extensive water damage to the facility and loss of facility functionality (e.g. a damaged police station will no longer be able to serve the community). The analysis identified no essential facilities that were subject to damage in the Wilkes County riverine 1% probability floodplain.

Riverine 1% Flood Shelter Requirements

Hazus-MH estimates that the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to riverine flooding and the associated potential evacuation. The model estimates 72 households might be displaced due to the flood. Displacement includes households evacuated within or very near to the inundated area. Displaced households represent 217 individuals, of which 12 may require short term publicly provided shelter. The results are mapped in Figure 9.



Figure 9: Riverine 1% Estimated Flood Shelter Requirements

Riverine 1% Flood Debris

Hazus-MH estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the flood. The model breaks debris into three general categories:

- Finishes (dry wall, insulation, etc.)
- Structural (wood, brick, etc.)
- Foundations (concrete slab, concrete block, rebar, etc.)

Different types of material handling equipment will be required for each category. Debris definitions applied in Hazus-MH are unique to the Hazus-MH model and so do not necessarily conform to other definitions that may be employed in other models or guidelines.

The analysis estimates that an approximate total of 1,934 tons of debris might be generated: 1) Finishes- 763 tons; 2) Structural - 471 tons; and 3) Foundations- 700 tons. The results are mapped in Figure 10.



Figure 10: Riverine 1% Flood Debris Weight (Tons)

Tornado Risk Assessment

Hazard Definition

Tornadoes pose a great risk to the state of Georgia and its citizens. Tornadoes can occur at any time during the day or night. They can also happen during any month of the year. The unpredictability of tornadoes makes them one of Georgia's most dangerous hazards. Their extreme winds are violently destructive when they touch down in the region's developed and populated areas. Current estimates place the maximum velocity at about 300 miles per hour, but higher and lower values can occur. A wind velocity of 200 miles per hour will result in a wind pressure of 102.4 pounds per square foot of surface area—a load that exceeds the tolerance limits of most buildings. Considering these factors, it is easy to understand why tornadoes can be so devastating for the communities they hit.

Tornadoes are defined as violently-rotating columns of air extending from thunderstorms and cyclonic events. Funnel clouds are rotating columns of air not in contact with the ground; however, the violently-rotating column of air can reach the ground very quickly and become a tornado. If the funnel cloud picks up and blows debris, it has reached the ground and is a tornado.

Tornadoes are classified according to the Fujita tornado intensity scale. Originally introduced in 1971, the scale was modified in 2006 to better define the damage and estimated wind scale. The Enhanced Fujita Scale ranges from low intensity EFO with effective wind speeds of 65 to 85 miles per hour, to EF5 tornadoes with effective wind speeds of over 200 miles per hour. The Enhanced Fujita intensity scale is included in Table 10.

Fujita Number	Estimated Wind Speed	Path Width	Path Length	Description of Destruction
EFO Gale	65-85 mph	6-17 yards	0.3-0.9 miles	Light damage, some damage to chimneys, branches broken, sign boards damaged, shallow-rooted trees blown over.
EF1 Moderate	86-110 mph	18-55 yards	1.0-3.1 miles	Moderate damage, roof surfaces peeled off, mobile homes pushed off foundations, attached garages damaged.
EF2 Significant	111-135 mph	56-175 yards	3.2-9.9 miles	Considerable damage, entire roofs torn from frame houses, mobile homes demolished, boxcars pushed over, large trees snapped or uprooted.
EF3 Severe	136-165 mph	176-566 yards	10-31 miles	Severe damage, walls torn from well-constructed houses, trains overturned, most trees in forests uprooted, heavy cars thrown about.
EF4 Devastating	166-200 mph	0.3-0.9 miles	32-99 miles	Complete damage, well-constructed houses leveled, structures with weak foundations blown off for some distance, large missiles generated.
EF5 Incredible	> 200 mph	1.0-3.1 miles	100-315 miles	Foundations swept clean, automobiles become missiles and thrown for 100 yards or more, steel-reinforced concrete structures badly damaged.

Table 10: Enhanced Fujita Tornado Rating

Source: http://www.srh.noaa.gov

Hypothetical Tornado Scenario

For this report, an EF3 tornado was modeled to illustrate the potential impacts of tornadoes of this magnitude in the county. The analysis used a hypothetical path based upon an EF3 tornado event running along the predominant direction of historical tornados (southeast to northwest). The tornado path was placed to travel through Washington. The selected widths were modeled after a re-creation of the Fujita-Scale guidelines based on conceptual wind speeds, path widths, and path lengths. There is no guarantee that every tornado will fit exactly into one of these categories. Table 11 depicts tornado path widths and expected damage.

Fujita Scale	Path Width (feet)	Maximum Expected Damage
EF-5	2,400	100%
EF-4	1,800	100%
EF-3	1,200	80%
EF-2	600	50%
EF-1	300	10%
EF-0	300	0%

Table 11: Tornado Path Widths and Damage Curves

Within any given tornado path there are degrees of damage. The most intense damage occurs within the center of the damage path, with decreasing amounts of damage away from the center. After the hypothetical path is digitized on a map, the process is modeled in GIS by adding buffers (damage zones) around the tornado path. Figure 11 describes the zone analysis.



Figure 11: EF Scale Tornado Zones

An EF3 tornado has four damage zones, depicted in Table 12. Major damage is estimated within 150 feet of the tornado path. The outer buffer is 900 feet from the tornado path, within which buildings will not experience any damage. The selected hypothetical tornado path is depicted in Figure 12 and the damage curve buffer zones are shown in Figure 13.

Zone	Buffer (feet)	Damage Curve
1	0-150	80%
2	150-300	50%
3	300-600	10%
4	600-900	0%

Table 12: EF3 Tornado Zones and Damage Curves



Figure 12: Hypothetical EF3 Tornado Path in Wilkes County



Figure 13: Modeled EF3 Tornado Damage Buffers in Wilkes County

EF3 Tornado Building Damages

The analysis estimated that approximately 321 buildings could be damaged, with estimated building losses of \$11 million. The building losses are an estimate of building replacement costs multiplied by the percentages of damage. The overlay was performed against parcels provided by Wilkes County that were joined with Assessor records showing estimated property replacement costs. The Assessor records often do not distinguish parcels by occupancy class if the parcels are not taxable and thus the number of buildings and replacement costs may be underestimated. The results of the analysis are depicted in Table 13.

Occupancy	Buildings Damaged	Building Losses
Residential	297	\$8,214,174
Commercial	11	\$894,753
Industrial	3	\$456,610
Government	1	\$984,713
Religious	4	\$451,260
Education	5	\$0
Total	321	\$11,001,510

Table 13: Estimated Building Losses by Occupancy Type

EF3 Tornado Essential Facility Damage

There were no essential facilities located in the tornado path.

Exceptions Report

Hazus Version 2.2 SP1 was used to perform the loss estimates for Wilkes County, Georgia. Changes made to the default Hazus-MH inventory and the modeling parameters used to setup the hazard scenarios are described within this document.

Reported losses reflect the updated data sets. Steps, algorithms and assumptions used during the data update process are documented in the project workflow named PDM_GA_Workflow.doc.

Statewide Inventory Changes

The default Hazus-MH Essential Facility inventory was updated for the entire state prior to running the hazard scenarios for Wilkes County.

Updates to the Critical Facility data used in GMIS were provided by Wilkes County in April 2017. These updates were applied by The Carl Vinson Institute of Government at the University of Georgia. Table 14 summarizes the difference between the original Hazus-MH default data and the updated data for Wilkes County.

Site Class	Feature Class	Default Replacement Cost	Default Count	Updated Replacement Cost	Updated Count
EF	Care	\$32,697,000	3	\$32,802,000	4
EF	EOC	\$880,000	1	\$400,000	1
EF	Fire	1,304,000	7	\$1,304,000	7
EF	Police	3,106,000	4	\$1,935,000	1
EF	School	\$23,869,000	5	\$49,114,000	4

Table 14: Essential Facility Updates

County Inventory Changes

The GBS records for Wilkes County were replaced with data derived from parcel and property assessment data obtained from Wilkes County. The county provided property assessment data was current as of April 2017 and the parcel data current as of April 2017.

General Building Stock Updates

The parcel boundaries and assessor records were obtained from Wilkes County. Records without improvements were deleted. The parcel boundaries were converted to parcel points located in the centroids of each parcel boundary. Each parcel point was linked to an assessor record based upon matching parcel numbers. The generated Building Inventory represents the approximate locations (within a parcel) of building exposure. The Building Inventory was aggregated by Census Block and

imported into Hazus-MH using the Hazus-MH Comprehensive Data Management System (CDMS). Both the 2010 Census Tract and Census Block tables were updated.

The match between parcel records and assessor records was based upon a common Parcel ID. For this type of project, unless the hit rate is better than 85%, the records are not used to update the default aggregate inventory in Hazus-MH. The Parcel-Assessor hit rate for Wilkes County was 99.7%.

Adjustments were made to records when primary fields did not have a value. In these cases, default values were applied to the fields. Table 15 outlines the adjustments made to Wilkes County records.

Type of Adjustment	Building Count	Percentage
Area Unknown	271	5%
Construction Unknown	315	5%
Condition Unknown	138	2%
Foundation Unknown	322	5%
Year Built Unknown	150	3%
Total Buildings	5,947	4%

Table 15: Building Inventory Default Adjustment Rates

Approximately 4% of the CAMA values were either missing (<Null> or '0'), did not match CAMA domains or were unusable ('Unknown', 'Other', 'Pending'). These were replaced with 'best available' values. Missing YearBuilt values were populated from average values per Census Block. Missing Condition, Construction and Foundation values were populated with the highest-frequency CAMA values per Occupancy Class. Missing Area values were populated with the average CAMA values per Occupancy Class.

The resulting Building Inventory was used to populate the Hazus-MH General Building Stock and User Defined Facility tables. The updated General Building Stock was used to calculate flood and tornado losses. Changes to the building counts and exposure that were modeled in Wilkes County are sorted by General Occupancy in Table 1 at the beginning of this report. If replacements cost or building value were not present for a given record in the Assessor data, replacement costs were calculated from the Building Area (sqft) multiplied by the Hazus-MH RS Means (\$/sqft) values for each Occupancy Class.

Differences between the default and updated data are due to various factors. The Assessor records often do not distinguish parcels by occupancy class when the parcels are not taxable; therefore, the total number of buildings and the building replacement costs for government, religious/non-profit, and education may be underestimated.

User Defined Facilities

Building Inventory was used to create Hazus-MH User Defined Facility (UDF) inventory for flood modeling. Hazus-MH flood loss estimates are based upon the UDF point data. Buildings within the flood boundary were imported into Hazus-MH as User Defined Facilities and modeled as points.

Class	Hazus-MH Feature	Counts	Exposure
BI	Building Exposure	5,943	\$1,336,558,933
Riverine UDF	Structures Inside 1% Annual Chance Riverine Flood Area	11	\$1,283,142

Table 16: User Defined Facility Exposure

Assumptions

- Flood analysis was performed on Building Inventory. Building Inventory within the flood boundary was imported as User Defined Facilities. The point locations are parcel centroid accuracy.
- The analysis is restricted to the county boundary. Events that occur near the county boundary do not contain loss estimates from adjacent counties.
- The following attributes were defaulted or calculated: First Floor Height was set from Foundation Type Content Cost was calculated from Building Cost



WILKES COUNTY, GEORGIA AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME

COMMUNITY NUMBER

RAYLE, CITY OF* TIGNALL, CITY OF* WASHINGTON, CITY OF WILKES COUNTY (UNINCORPORATED AREAS)

*Non-floodprone community

135172 135173 130233

135263



EFFECTIVE:

JULY 22, 2010



Federal Emergency Management Agency

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER 13317CV000A

NOTICE TO FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY USERS

Communities participating in the National Flood Insurance Program have established repositories of flood hazard data for floodplain management and flood insurance purposes. This Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report may not contain all data available within the Community Map Repository. Please contact the Community Map Repository for any additional data.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) may revise and republish part or all of this FIS report at any time. In addition, FEMA may revise part of this FIS report by the Letter of Map Revision process, which does not involve republication or redistribution of the FIS report. Therefore, users should consult with community officials and check the Community Map Repository to obtain the most current FIS report components.

Initial Countywide FIS Effective Date: July 22, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTE	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Purpose of Study	1
	1.2	Authority and Acknowledgments	1
	1.3	Coordination	2
2.0	<u>ARE</u>	A STUDIED	2
	2.1	Scope of Study	2
	2.2	Community Description	3
	2.3	Principal Flood Problems	3
	2.4	Flood Protection Measures	3
3.0	<u>ENG</u>	INEERING METHODS	3
	3.1	Hydrologic Analyses	4
	3.2	Hydraulic Analyses	4
	3.3	Vertical Datum	5
4.0	<u>FLOC</u>	DDPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS	6
	4.1	Floodplain Boundaries	6
	4.2	Floodways	6
5.0	<u>INSU</u>	RANCE APPLICATIONS	6
6.0	<u>FLOC</u>	DD INSURANCE RATE MAP	7
7.0	<u>OTH</u>	ER STUDIES	7
8.0	LOCA	ATION OF DATA	9
9.0	<u>BIBL</u>	IOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES	9

Page

TABLE OF CONTENTS - continued

Page

8

TABLES

Table 1 -Community Map History

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 - Flood Insurance Rate Map Index Flood Insurance Rate Map

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY WILKES COUNTY, GEORGIA AND INCORPORATED AREAS

1.0 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Purpose of Study

This FIS revises and updates information on the existence and severity of flood hazards in the geographic area of Wilkes County, including the Cities of Rayle, Tignall and Washington and the unincorporated areas of Wilkes County (referred to collectively herein as Wilkes County), and aids in the administration of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973. This study has developed flood-risk data for various areas of the community that will be used to establish actuarial flood insurance rates and to assist the community in its efforts to promote sound floodplain management. Minimum floodplain management requirements for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) are set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations at 44 CFR, 60.3.

Please note that the Cities of Rayle and Tignall have no mapped flood hazard areas.

In some States or communities, floodplain management criteria or regulations may exist that are more restrictive or comprehensive than the minimum Federal requirements. In such cases, the more restrictive criteria take precedence and the State (or other jurisdictional agency) will be able to explain them.

The Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) and FIS report for this countywide study have been produced in digital format. Flood hazard information was converted to meet the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) DFIRM database specifications and Geographic Information System (GIS) format requirements. The flood hazard information was created and is provided in a digital format so that it can be incorporated into a local GIS and be accessed more easily by the community.

1.2 Authority and Acknowledgments

The sources of authority for this FIS are the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973.

No previous FIS reports were prepared for the Cities of Rayle, Tignall and Washington and the unincorporated areas of Wilkes County.

The hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for this study were performed by Dewberry & Davis LLC, for FEMA, under Contract No. EMA-2008-CA-5870. This work was completed in June 2009.

Base map information shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) was derived from digital orthoimagery produced at a scale of 1:20,000, from National Agriculture Imagery Program dated 2007. The projection used in the preparation of this map is Georgia State Plane East FIPS Zone 1001 (feet), and the horizontal datum used is North American Datum 1983, GRS80 spheroid.

1.3 Coordination

An initial meeting is held with representatives from FEMA, the community, and the study contractor to explain the nature and purpose of a FIS, and to identify the streams to be studied or restudied. A final meeting is held with representatives from FEMA, the community, and the study contractor to review the results of the study.

The initial meeting was held on July 9, 2008, and attended by representatives of the Georgia Department of Natural Resources – Environmental Protection Division, Wilkes County, FEMA, and the study contractor.

The results of the study were reviewed at the final meeting held on September 17, 2009, and attended by representatives of the Georgia Department of Natural Resources – Environmental Protection Division, Wilkes County, the City of Washington, FEMA, and the study contractor. All problems raised at that meeting have been addressed.

2.0 AREA STUDIED

2.1 Scope of Study

This FIS covers the geographic area of Wilkes County, Georgia, including the incorporated communities listed in Section 1.1.

For this countywide FIS, the FIRM was converted to countywide format, and the flooding information for the entire county, including both incorporated and unincorporated areas, is shown. Also, the vertical datum was converted from the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD 29) to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88). In addition, the Transverse Mercator projection, State Plane coordinates, previously referenced to the North American Datum of 1927, are now referenced to the North American Datum of 1983.

All or portions of numerous flooding sources in the county were studied by approximate methods. Approximate analyses were used to study those areas having low development potential or minimal flood hazards. No detailed analyses were performed. The scope and methods of study were proposed to and agreed upon by FEMA and Wilkes County.

No Letters of Map Change (LOMCs) were recorded for this countywide study.

2.2 Community Description

Wilkes County, encompassing approximately 681 square miles, is located in northeastern Georgia, approximately 95 miles east of the City of Atlanta. The county is bordered on the north by Elbert County; on the south by McDuffie, Warren, and Taliaferro Counties; on the east by Lincoln County; and on the west by Oglethorpe County. Major transportation routes that serve Wilkes County include U.S. Highways 78 and 378 and State Highways 10, 17, 44, 47, and 80.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, in 2008 the population estimate for Wilkes County was 10,282 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2009).

Wilkes County's moderate climate consists of mild winters and warm summers. The average annual rainfall is 50 inches. The wettest month is March while the driest months are September and October (National Weather Service, 2009).

2.3 Principal Flood Problems

The low-lying areas of Wilkes County adjacent to the major streams are subject to the periodic flooding that accompanies major storms.

2.4 Flood Protection Measures

No major structural flood protection measures exist or are planned for Wilkes County.

3.0 ENGINEERING METHODS

For the flooding sources studied in the county, standard hydrologic and hydraulic study methods were used to determine the flood hazard data required for this study. Flood events of a magnitude that are expected to be equaled or exceeded once on the average during any 10-, 50-, 100-, or 500-year period (recurrence interval) have been selected as having special significance for floodplain management and for flood insurance rates. These events, commonly termed the 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods, have a 10-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent chance, respectively, of being equaled or exceeded during any year. Although the recurrence interval represents the long-term, average period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at short intervals or even within the same year. The risk of experiencing a rare flood increases when periods greater than 1 year are considered. For example, the risk of having a flood that equals or exceeds the 1-percentannual-chance (100-year) flood in any 50-year period is approximately 40 percent (4 in 10); for any 90-year period, the risk increases to approximately 60 percent (6 in 10). The analyses reported herein reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community at the time of completion of this study. Maps will be amended periodically to reflect future changes.

3.1 Hydrologic Analyses

Hydrologic analyses were carried out to establish peak discharge-frequency relationships for each flooding source studied affecting the community.

Discharges for approximate study streams were developed using regression equations for rural areas in Georgia contained in the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) report and available USGS gage record data (where applicable) (Stamey and Hess, 1993). Drainage areas were developed from USGS 10-meter Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) (USGS, 2009).

3.2 Hydraulic Analyses

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of flooding from the sources studied were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals.

For the streams studied by approximate methods, cross- section data was obtained from the USGS 10-meter DEMs (USGS, 2009). Hydraulically significant roads were modeled as bridges, with opening data approximated from available inventory data or approximated from the imagery. Top of road elevations were estimated from the best available topography. The approximate studied streams were modeled using HEC-RAS version 4.0 (Hydrologic Engineering Center, 2008).

Floodplains were delineated using the computer 1-percent-annual-chance watersurface elevations and the USGS 10-meter DEMs (USGS, 2009).

The hydraulic analyses for this study were based on unobstructed flow. The flood delineations are thus considered valid only if hydraulic structures remain unobstructed, operate properly, and do not fail.

Qualifying bench marks within a given jurisdiction that are cataloged by the National Geodetic Survey (NGS) and entered into the National Spatial Reference System (NSRS) as First or Second Order Vertical and have a vertical stability classification of A, B, or C are shown and labeled on the FIRM with their 6-character NSRS Permanent Identifier.

Bench marks cataloged by the NGS and entered into the NSRS vary widely in vertical stability classification. NSRS vertical stability classifications are as follows:

- Stability A: Monuments of the most reliable nature, expected to hold position/elevation well (e.g., mounted in bedrock)
- Stability B: Monuments which generally hold their position/elevation well (e.g., concrete bridge abutment)

- Stability C: Monuments which may be affected by surface ground movements (e.g., concrete monument below frost line)
- Stability D: Mark of questionable or unknown vertical stability (e.g., concrete monument above frost line, or steel witness post)

In addition to NSRS bench marks, the FIRM may also show vertical control monuments established by a local jurisdiction; these monuments will be shown on the FIRM with the appropriate designations. Local monuments will only be placed on the FIRM if the community has requested that they be included, and if the monuments meet the aforementioned NSRS inclusion criteria.

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on the FIRM for this jurisdiction, please contact the Information Services Branch of the NGS at (301) 713-3242, or visit their Web site at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov.

It is important to note that temporary vertical monuments are often established during the preparation of a flood hazard analysis for the purpose of establishing local vertical control. Although these monuments are not shown on the FIRM, they may be found in the Technical Support Data Notebook associated with this FIS and FIRM. Interested individuals may contact FEMA to access this data.

3.3 Vertical Datum

All FIS reports and FIRMs are referenced to a specific vertical datum. The vertical datum provides a starting point against which flood, ground, and structure elevations can be referenced and compared. Until recently, the standard vertical datum in use for newly created or revised FIS reports and FIRMs was NGVD 29. With the finalization of NAVD 88, many FIS reports and FIRMs are being prepared using NAVD 88 as the referenced vertical datum.

All models created for this FIS report are referenced to NAVD 88. Structure and ground elevations in the community must, therefore, be referenced to NAVD 88. It is important to note that adjacent communities may be referenced to NGVD 29.

For additional information regarding conversion between NGVD 29 and NAVD 88, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov, or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282 (301) 713-3242

4.0 FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS

The NFIP encourages State and local governments to adopt sound floodplain management programs. To assist in this endeavor, each FIS provides 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain data, which may include a combination of the following: 10-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood elevations; delineations of the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplains; and 1-percent-annual-chance floodway. This information is presented on the FIRM and in many components of the FIS, including Flood Profiles, Floodway Data tables, and Summary of Stillwater Elevation tables. Users should reference the data presented in the FIS as well as additional information that may be available at the local community map repository before making flood elevation and/or floodplain boundary determinations.

4.1 Floodplain Boundaries

To provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the 1-percentannual-chance flood has been adopted by FEMA as the base flood for floodplain management purposes. The 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood is employed to indicate additional areas of flood risk in the community, although none were mapped for this study.

For the streams studied by approximate methods the boundaries were delineated using the USGS 10-meter DEMs (USGS, 2009).

For the streams studied by approximate methods, only the 1-percent-annualchance floodplain boundary is shown on the FIRM (Exhibit 1).

4.2 Floodways

Encroachment on floodplains, such as structures and fill, reduces flood-carrying capacity, increases flood heights and velocities, and increases flood hazards in areas beyond the encroachment itself. One aspect of floodplain management involves balancing the economic gain from floodplain development against the resulting increase in flood hazard. For purposes of the NFIP, a floodway is used as a tool to assist local communities in this aspect of floodplain management. Under this concept, the area of the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain is divided into a floodway and a floodway fringe. The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1-percent-annual-chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. Minimum Federal standards limit such increases to 1 foot, provided that hazardous velocities are not produced.

No floodways have been computed for Wilkes County.

5.0 **INSURANCE APPLICATIONS**

For flood insurance rating purposes, flood insurance zone designations are assigned to a community based on the results of the engineering analyses. These zones are as follows:

Zone A

Zone A is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to the 1-percent-annualchance floodplains that are determined in the FIS by approximate methods. Because detailed hydraulic analyses are not performed for such areas, no BFEs or base flood depths are shown within this zone.

Zone X

Zone X is the flood insurance risk zone that corresponds to areas outside the 0.2percent-annual-chance floodplain, areas within the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, areas of 1-percent-annual-chance flooding where average depths are less than 1 foot, areas of 1-percent-annual-chance flooding where the contributing drainage area is less than 1 square mile, and areas protected from the 1-percentannual-chance flood by levees. No BFEs or base flood depths are shown within this zone.

6.0 FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

The FIRM is designed for flood insurance and floodplain management applications.

For flood insurance applications, the map designates flood insurance risk zones as described in Section 5.0. Insurance agents use the zones in conjunction with information on structures and their contents to assign premium rates for flood insurance policies.

For floodplain management applications, the map shows by tints, screens, and symbols, the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain.

The countywide FIRM presents flooding information for the entire geographic area of Wilkes County. Previously, FIRMs were prepared for the City of Washington identified as flood-prone. Historical data relating to the maps prepared for each community are presented in Table 1, "Community Map History".

7.0 OTHER STUDIES

Information pertaining to flood hazards for each jurisdiction within Wilkes County has been compiled into this FIS. Therefore, this FIS supersedes all previously printed FHBMs and FIRMs for the City of Washington and should be considered authoritative for purposes of the NFIP.

		FLOOD HAZARD		<u> </u>
COMMUNITY	INITIAL	BOUNDARY MAP	FIRM	FIRM
NAME	IDENTIFICATION	REVISIONS DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	REVISIONS DATE
Rayle, City of*				
Tignall, City of*				
Washington, City of	June 27, 1975	None	May 1, 1987	None
Wilkes County (Unincorporated Areas)	July 22, 2010	N/A	July 22, 2010	None
* Non-floodprone community				······
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAG	EMENT AGENCY			
WILKES COUNTY, GA AND INCORPORATED AREAS		COMMUNITY MAP HISTORY		

8.0 LOCATION OF DATA

Information concerning the pertinent data used in the preparation of this study can be obtained by contacting FEMA, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Division, Koger Center – Rutgers Building, 3003 Chamblee Tucker Road, Atlanta, Georgia 30341

9.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

Hydrologic Engineering Center. (March 2008). <u>HEC-RAS River Analysis System</u>, Version 4.0.0. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Davis, California.

National Weather Service. (Accessed March 19, 2009). 2005 Georgia's Climatology – http://www.srh.noaa.gov/.

Stamey, T.C. and G. W. Hess. (1993). <u>Techniques for Estimating Magnitude and</u> <u>Frequency of Floods in Rural Basins of Georgia</u>, Water Resources Investigation Report 93-4016. U.S. Geological Survey.

U.S. Census Bureau. (Accessed June 16, 2009). 2008 Population Estimate – http://www.census.gov/.

U.S. Geological Survey. (Downloaded March 2009). Seamless Data Distribution System – 10 meter Digital Elevation Model, http://seamless.usgs.gov/.

GEORGIA FORESTRY C 0 M M I S S I 0 N



Community Wildfire Protection Plan *An Action Plan for Wildfire Mitigation and Conservation of Natural Resources*

Wilkes County, Georgia



M A R C H 2 1 ^{S T} 2 0 1 2

Prepared by; Beth Richards, Chief Ranger Wilkes County Will Fell CWPP Specialist Georgia Forestry Commission 1495 Tignall Rd Washington, GA 30673

The following report is a collaborative effort among various entities; the representatives listed below comprise the core decision-making team responsible for this report and mutually agree on the plan's contents:

Darrell Rogers Chief Washington FD (706) 678-7113

Joe Burton Chief Jackson Crossroads FD (706) 318-6988

Ryan Burton Chief Tignall FD (706) 318-6061 ryanburton_01@yahoo.com

Brent Sherrer Chief Rayle FD

Blake Thompson Wilkes Co Safety Officer

Beth Richards Chief Ranger Wilkes County Forestry Unit (706) 678-2910 <u>rmosley@gfc.state.ga.us</u> David Streetman Chief Danburg FD

Randy Danner Chief Metasville FD (706) 678-6426 randydanner@berryplastics.com

William Johnson Chief Beulah FD

Billy Godwin Chief Tyrone FD (706) 678-2994 bgodwin@washingtonwilkes.org

David Tyler Wilkes Co Administrator

Greg Scott Lt Washington FD (706) 401-2176 lak@nu-z.net

PLAN CONTENTS

PREFACE

I.	Objectives
II.	Community Collaboration
III.	Community Background and Existing Situation
IV.	Community Base Map
V.	Community Wildfire Risk Assessment
VI.	Community Hazards Map 15
VII.	Prioritized Mitigation Recommendations 17
VIII.	Action Plan
IX.	Appended Documents
	Wilkes County Wildfire Pre-suppression Plan

NFPA 1141 Standard for Fire Protection Infrastructure for Land Development in Suburban and Rural Areas.

Preface

The extreme weather conditions that are conducive to wildfire disasters (usually a combination of extended drought, low relative humidity and high winds) can occur in this area of Georgia as infrequently as every 10-15 years. This is not a regular event, but as the number of homes that have been built in or adjacent to forested or wildland areas increases, it can turn a wildfire under these weather conditions into a major disaster. Wildfires move fast and can quickly overwhelm the resources of even the best equipped fire department. Advance planning can save lives, homes and businesses.

This Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP) includes a locally assessed evaluation of the wildland urban interface areas of the county, looking at the critical issues regarding access to these areas, risk to properties from general issues such as building characteristics and "fire wise" practices and response from local fire fighting resources. It further incorporates a locally devised action plan to mitigate these risks and hazards though planning, education and other avenues that may become available to address the increasing threat of wildland fire. The CWPP does not obligate the county financially in any way, but instead lays a foundation for improved emergency response if and when grant funding is available to the county.

The Plan is provided at no cost to the county and can be very important for county applications for hazard mitigation grant funds through the National Fire Plan, FEMA mitigation grants and Homeland Security. Under the Healthy Forest Restoration Act (HFRA) of 2003, communities (counties) that seek grants form the federal government for hazardous fuels reduction work are required to prepare a Community Wildfire Protection Plan.

This plan will:

- Enhance public safety
- Raise public awareness of wildfire hazards and risks
- Educate homeowners on how to reduce home ignitability
- Build and improve collaboration at multiple levels

The public does not have to fall victim to this type of disaster. Homes (and communities) can be designed, built and maintained to withstand a wildfire even in the absence of fire equipment and firefighters on the scene. It takes planning and commitment at the local level before the wildfire disaster occurs and that is what the Community Wildfire Protection Plan is all about.

I. OBJECTIVES

The mission of the following report is to set clear priorities for the implementation of wildfire mitigation in Wilkes County. The plan includes prioritized recommendations for the appropriate types and methods of fuel reduction and structure ignitability reduction that will protect this community and its essential infrastructure. It also includes a plan for wildfire suppression. Specifically, the plan includes community-centered actions that will:

- Educate citizens on wildfire, its risks, and ways to protect lives and properties,
- Support fire rescue and suppression entities,
- Focus on collaborative decision-making and citizen participation,
- Develop and implement effective mitigation strategies, and
- Develop and implement effective community ordinances and codes.

II. COMMUNITY COLLABORATION

The core team convened on February 24th, 2011 to assess risks and develop the Community Wildfire Protection Plan. The group is comprised of representatives from local government, local fire authorities, and the state agency responsible for forest management. Below are the groups included in the task force:

Wilkes County EMA Wilkes County Fire Departments Georgia Forestry Commission

It was decided to conduct community assessments on the basis of the individual fire districts in the county. The chiefs of the various fire departments in the county assessed the selected areas and reconvened on January 19th, 2012 for the purpose of completing the following:

Risk Assessment	Assessed wildfire hazard risks and prioritized mitigation actions.
Fuels Reduction	Identified strategies for coordinating fuels treatment projects.
Structure Ignitability	Identified strategies for reducing the ignitability of structures within the Wildland interface.
Emergency Management	Forged relationships among local government and fire districts and developed/refined a pre-suppression plan.
Education and Outreach	Developed strategies for increasing citizen awareness and action and to conduct homeowner and community leader workshops.

III. COMMUNITY BACKGROUND AND EXISTING SITUATION

Background

Located in east central Georgia, Wilkes County was created in 1777. The state's first county, it was originally far larger than its current 471 square miles. The area that became the original Wilkes County was called the New Purchase or Ceded Lands, referring to the land lying between the Broad River and the Savannah River, which today includes Elbert and Lincoln counties, as well as parts of Oglethorpe, Taliaferro, and Warren counties. Wilkes County is named for John Wilkes, a colorful member of British Parliament who opposed some of the British policies that eventually led to the American Revolution (1775-83).

Creek and Cherokee people originally held the land but lost it to whites in a treaty signed in 1773. The first non-Indians in the area were fur trappers and traders; the first white settlers came from North Carolina, followed soon by a large number of Virginians. The latter were wealthier, more educated, and often held a higher social status than the former. Sociocultural differences between the two settler groups led to political dissension that eventually spread across Georgia, with citizens choosing sides led by men from one group or the other.

While Georgia was still an English colony, the area now forming Wilkes County was part of St. Paul Parish. The area saw action during the American Revolution, most notably on February 14, 1779, during the Battle of Kettle Creek, one of the most decisive conflicts of the war. British forces, solidly defeated by the Americans, were prevented from moving farther into west Georgia. The eventual county seat, Washington, was settled in 1773 by Stephen Heard, a one-term governor of Georgia. He established a fort known initially as Heard's Fort and later as Fort Washington, honoring his former neighbor, George Washington. The first court sessions north of Augusta were held at Heard's Fort in 1779. During Heard's term as governor (1780-81), the colonial legislature met in Augusta, but he used Fort Heard as his capital during a time when Augusta was endangered.

The town itself was laid out in the midst of the Revolution, retaining the name to honor General Washington and, thus, reputedly becoming the first town in the United States named for him. The first official courthouse was built in 1785 on the spot where the fort had stood and served until 1904, when the current building was completed. The town, incorporated in 1805, grew steadily, and its prosperity was enhanced by improved access to outside markets in 1853, when the Georgia Railroad built a line from Washington to Barnett, in Warren County. The first cotton mill in the South was built in Wilkes County, and it was there, in the Cooper-Sanders-Wickersham House, that Jefferson Davis dissolved the Confederacy in 1865.

Wilkes County's economy originally relied heavily on cotton, and Washington was once a thriving commercial center. However, the damage to cotton done by the boll weevil in the 1920s caused a precipitous decline in the fortunes of the area, exacerbated in the following decades by the closure of numerous manufacturing plants. The peak population of 24,210 in 1920 had dropped to 10,687 by 2000. Among the current efforts to reverse decades of economic decline is the aggressive pursuit of new industries through the building of industrial parks. A successful workplace-to-school educational partnership has also been instituted and serves as a model for other counties. There are also creative efforts under way to make use of the rich heritage of this historical county, in hopes of boosting tourism. The other incorporated towns in the county are Rayle and Tignall, which was known earlier as "Little Atlanta."

Wilkes County has been home to several notable Georgians, including U.S. Supreme Court justice Archibald Campbell, who helped decide the Dred Scott case; Alexander Stephens, vice president of the Confederacy; Robert Toombs, secretary of state of the Confederacy; Ben Fortson, Georgia's secretary of state; governor George Mathews; Jesse Mercer, Baptist leader and publisher; and John Springer, noted educator and clergyman and the first Presbyterian minister ordained in Georgia.

Wilkes County boasts twenty-nine entries in the National Register of Historic Places, including several districts within Washington. Structures range from Victorian to Greek revival in style. Among them are the courthouse, the Robert Toombs House, and the Washington Historical Museum, which houses a rare collection of Civil War (1861-65) relics, including Jefferson Davis's camp chest. The Callaway Plantation, northwest of Washington, is a working farm museum, and southwest of Washington is the Kettle Creek Battlefield.

According to the 2010 U.S. census, the population of Wilkes County was 10,593, a slight decrease from the 2000 population of 10,687.

Elizabeth B. Cooksey, Savannah, Courtesy New Georgia Encyclopedia

Existing Situation

Wilkes County located in east central Georgia is still over 70% forested. Perhaps with the exception of a few large blocks of paper company woodlands scattered about the county, there are homes and communities throughout the county. The risks and hazards from the wildland urban interface are fairly general and substantial throughout the county even on the edges of the incorporated cities.

Wilkes County is protected by seven organized volunteer fire departments and a city fire department in Washington. The Georgia Forestry Commission maintains a county protection unit located on Hwy 17 two miles north of Washington near the center of the county to respond to wildfires throughout the county. The incorporated towns of Washington, Tignall and Rayle are serviced by pressurized water systems with hydrants available. In addition the county fire departments have good water handling capability and adequate drafting pumps to cover those parts of the county without pressurized hydrants.

Over the past 55 years, Wilkes County has averaged 32 reported wildland fires per year, burning an average of 119 acres per year. Using more recent figures over the past 20 years, this number has decreased to an average of 26 fires per year burning on average 110 acres annually. The occurrence of these fires during this later period shows a slight increase during the months of February, March and April in the average number of fires and a marked increase in the monthly average acreage burned, accounting for 44% of the yearly acreage. The numbers of fires over the remainder of the year are fairly well distributed.

Over the past 20 years, the leading cause of these fires was debris burning causing 34% of the fires and over 50% of the acres burned. Over the past six years records show that about 30% of the debris fires originated from residential burning.

Georgia Forestry Commission Wildfire Records show that in the past seven years, three homes have been damaged by wildfire in Wilkes County resulting in losses of \$41,000 along with nine barns and other outbuildings worth \$96,000 and nine more homes have been directly or indirectly threatened by these fires. Additionally three automobiles and eight other pieces of mechanized equipment values at \$287,000 were lost to wildfire. This is a significant loss and threat to non timber property attributed to wildfires in Wilkes County.
IV. COMMUNITY BASE MAP



V. COMMUNITY WILDFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT

The Wildland-Urban Interface

There are many definitions of the Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI), however from a fire management perspective it is commonly defined as an area where structures and other human development meet or intermingles with undeveloped wildland or vegetative fuels. As fire is dependent on a certain set of conditions, the National Wildfire Coordinating Group has defined the wildland-urban interface as a set of conditions that exists in or near areas of wildland fuels, regardless of ownership. This set of conditions includes type of vegetation, building construction, accessibility, lot size, topography and other factors such as weather and humidity. When these conditions are present in certain combinations, they make some communities more vulnerable to wildfire damage than others. This "set of conditions" method is perhaps the best way to define wildland-urban interface areas when planning for wildfire prevention, mitigation, and protection activities.

There are three major categories of wildland-urban interface. Depending on the set of conditions present, any of these areas may be at risk from wildfire. A wildfire risk assessment can determine the level of risk.

1. "Boundary" wildland-urban interface is characterized by areas of development where homes, especially new subdivisions, press against public and private wildlands, such as private or commercial forest land or public forests or parks. This is the classic type of wildland-urban interface, with a clearly defined boundary between the suburban fringe and the rural countryside.

2. "Intermix" wildland-urban interface areas are places where improved property and/or structures are scattered and interspersed in wildland areas. These may be isolated rural homes or an area that is just beginning to go through the transition from rural to urban land use.

3. "Island" wildland-urban interface, also called occluded interface, are areas of wildland within predominately urban or suburban areas. As cities or subdivisions grow, islands of undeveloped land may remain, creating remnant forests. Sometimes these remnants exist as parks, or as land that cannot be developed due to site limitations, such as wetlands. (courtesy *Fire Ecology and Wildfire Mitigation in Florida* 2004)

Wildland Urban Interface Hazards

Firefighters in the wildland urban interface may encounter hazards other than the fire itself, such as hazardous materials, utility lines and poor access.

Hazardous Materials

• Common chemicals used around the home may be a direct hazard to firefighters from a flammability, explosion potential and/or vapors or off gassing. Such chemicals include paint, varnish and other flammable liquids, fertilizer, pesticides, cleansers, aerosol cans, fireworks, batteries and ammunition. In addition, some common household products such as plastics may give off very toxic fumes when they burn. Stay out of smoke form burning structures and any unknown sources such as trash piles.

Illicit Activities

• Marijuana plantations or drug production labs may be found in the wildland urban interface areas. Extremely hazardous materials such as propane tanks and flammable/toxic chemicals may be encountered.

Propane Tanks

• Both large (household size) and small (gas grill size) liquefied propane gas (LPG) tanks can present hazards to firefighters, including explosion.

Utility Lines

• Utility Lines may be located above and below ground and may be cut or damaged by tools or equipment. Don't spray water on utility lines or boxes.

Septic Tanks and Fields

• Below ground structures may not be readily apparent and may not support the weight of engines or other equipment.

New Construction Materials

• Many new construction materials have comparatively low melting points and may "offgas" extremely hazardous vapors. Plastic decking materials that resemble wood are becoming more common and may begin softening and losing structural strength at 180 degrees F, though they normally do not sustain combustion once direct flame is removed. However if the continue to burn they exhibit the characteristics of flammable liquids.

Pets and Livestock

• Pets and livestock may be left when residents evacuate and will likely be highly stressed making them more inclined to bite and kick. Firefighters should not put themselves at risk to rescue pets or livestock.

Evacuation Occurring

• Firefighters may be taking structural protect actions while evacuations of residents are occurring. Be very cautious of people driving erratically. Distraught residents may refuse to leave their property and firefighters may need to disengage from fighting fire to contact law enforcement officers for assistance. In most jurisdictions firefighters do not have the authority to force evacuations. Firefighters should not put themselves at risk trying to protect someone who will not evacuate!

Limited Access

• Narrow one-lane roads with no turn around room, inadequate or poorly maintained bridges and culverts are frequently found in wildland urban interface areas. Access should be sized up and an evacuation plan for all emergency personnel should be developed.

The wildland fire risk assessment conducted in 2011 by the Wilkes County Fire Departments identified a number of hazards and risks to communities in the wildland urban interface. The risk assessment instrument used to evaluate wildfire hazards to Wilkes County's WUI was the Woodland Community Wildfire Hazard Assessment Checklist. The instrument takes into consideration accessibility, site hazard, roofing and building construction, and additional factors such availability of water, placement of gas and electric utilities, and the surrounding environment. The following factors contributed to the wildfire hazard's identified for Wilkes County:

- Unpaved roads and private driveways
- Narrow roads without drivable shoulders and with overhanging trees
- Short or inadequate culverts leading to private drives
- Minimal defensible space around structures
- Homes with wooden siding
- Unmarked septic tanks in yards
- Lack of pressurized or non-pressurized water systems available
- Large, adjacent areas of forest or wildlands
- Lack of enforcement of addressing ordinance

Fire District Washington Metasville Rayle Tyrone Danburg	Community Design 17 12 19 13 13	Site Hazard 54 53 55 48 57	Bldg Construction 9 5 20 20 20 25	Additional Factors 30 34 34 39 35	Score 110 104 128 120 135	Hazard Rating Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate High
Danburg Tignall	18 20	57 52	25 30	35 35	135 137	High High
Average	17	53	18	35	123	Moderate

Southern Fire Risk Assessment System Maps.

The attached maps were generated from a computerized Geographical Information System (GIS) program developed by the Sanborn Company under contract from the Southern Group of State Foresters to model the various risks to life and property within the southeastern US. The program is known as the Southern Fire Risk Assessment System (SFRAS). It utilizes multiple layers of data developed cooperatively from the various states and the US Forest Service under the Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment (SWRA)

<u>Wildland Urban Interface</u> maps are developed using data from the SILVIS Lab at the University of Wisconsin at Madison. WUI is composed of both interface and intermix communities. In both interface and intermix communities, housing must meet or exceed a minimum density of one structure per 40 acres. Intermix communities are places where housing and vegetation intermingle. In intermix, wildland vegetation is continuous, more than 50 percent vegetation, in areas with more than one house per 40 acres. Interface areas have more than one house per 40 acres, have less than 50 percent vegetation. Interface areas have more than one house per 40 acres, have less than 50 percent vegetation, and are within 1.5 miles of an area (made up of one or more contiguous Census blocks) over 1,325 acres that is more than 75 percent vegetated. The minimum size limit ensures that areas surrounding small urban parks are not classified as interface WUI.

<u>Fire Response Accessibility Index</u> is a relative measure of how long it would take initial attack resources to drive from their station to various areas of the county. This index is derived from assigning average speeds to the various road classes in the county. For the purpose of this analysis the following speeds were assigned: 55 mph for level 1 roads, primarily interstates and four lane open highways, 50 mph for level 2 roads, primarily state and federal highways, 40 mph for level 3 roads, primarily paved two lanes collector roads and 25 mph for level 4 roads, mainly city streets and rural roads, paved and unpaved. For areas away from roads a travel speed of 3 mph is assigned as it is assumed travel will be by foot or extremely slow moving equipment.

<u>Fire Occurrence Areas</u> maps use data from wildfire reports over the period from 1997-2002. The fire occurrence rates mapped are the probability of the number of fires occurring per 1000 acres per year base on this historic information.

<u>Wildland Fire Susceptibility</u> maps show an index value between 0 and 1 and are developed by a mathematical calculation process for determining the probability of an acre burning and the expected final fire size. Many layers of data are used in developing this calculation including historic fire data, wildland fuels and rate of spread, canopy attributes (closure, height and density), weather influences, topography, soils and fire suppression effectiveness.

<u>Level of Concern</u> maps are a complex calculation using the Wildland Fire Susceptibility Index (previously described) and the Fire Effects Index which is calculated using data layers of transportation and infrastructure, urban interface and timber values along with suppression difficulty ratings. This provides an output categorizing the expected levels of concern from low to high.

VI. COMMUNITY HAZARDS MAPS





VII. PRIORITIZED MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Executive Summary

As Rural Georgia continues to see increased growth from other areas seeking less crowded and warmer climes, new development will occur more frequently on forest and wildland areas. Wilkes County will have an opportunity to significantly influence the wildland fire safety of new developments. It is important that new development be planned and constructed to provide for public safety in the event of a wildland fire emergency.

Over the past 20 years, much has been learned about how and why homes burn during wildland fire emergencies. Perhaps most importantly, case histories and research have shown that even in the most severe circumstances, wildland fire disasters can be avoided. Homes can be designed, built and maintained to withstand a wildfire even in the absence of fire services on the scene. The national Firewise Communities program is a national awareness initiative to help people understand that they don't have to be victims in a wildfire emergency. The National Fire Protection Association has produced two standards for reference: NFPA 1144 Standard for Reducing Structure Ignition Hazards from Wildland Fire. 2008 Edition and NFPA 1141 Standard for Fire Protection Infrastructure for Land Development in Suburban and Rural Areas.

When new developments are built in the Wildland/Urban Interface, a number of public safety challenges may be created for the local fire services: (1) the water supply in the immediate areas may be inadequate for fire suppression; (2) if the Development is in an outlying area, there may be a longer response time for emergency services; (3) in a wildfire emergency, the access road(s) may need to simultaneously support evacuation of residents and the arrival of emergency vehicles; and (4) when wildland fire disasters strike, many structures may be involved simultaneously, quickly exceeding the capability of even the best equipped fire departments.

The following recommendations were developed by the Wilkes County CWPP Core team as a result of surveying and assessing fuels and structures and by conducting meetings and interviews with county and city officials. A priority order was determined based on which mitigation projects would best reduce the hazard of wildfire in the assessment area.

Primary Protection for Commun	ity and Its Essential Infrastru	ucture
Treatment Area	Treatment Types	Treatment Method(s)
1. All Structures	Create minimum of 30- feet of defensible space**	Trim shrubs and vines to 30 feet from structures, trim overhanging limbs, replace flammable plants near homes with less flammable varieties, remove vegetation around chimneys.
2. Applicable Structures	Reduce structural ignitability**	Clean flammable vegetative material from roofs and gutters, store firewood appropriately, install skirting around raised structures, store water hoses for ready access, and replace pine straw and mulch around plantings with less flammable landscaping materials.
3. Community Clean-up Day	Cutting, mowing, pruning**	Cut, prune, and mow vegetation in shared community spaces.
4. Driveway Access	Right of Way Clearance	Maintain vertical and horizontal clearance for emergency equipment. See that adequate lengths of culverts are installed to allow emergency vehicle access.
5. Road Access	Identify needed road improvements	As roads are upgraded, widen to minimum standards with at least 50 foot diameter cul de sacs or turn arounds. Work with road department to improve standards for new culvert installation and replacement sufficient to allow access by fire fighting equipment.
6. Codes and Ordinances	Examine existing codes and ordinances.	Amend and enforce existing building codes as they relate to skirting, propane tank locations, public nuisances (trash/debris on property), Property address marking standards and other relevant concerns Review Subdivision and development ordinances for public safety concerns. Enforce uniform addressing ordinance.

Proposed Community Hazard and Structural Ignitability Reduction Priorities

Proposed Community Wi	Idland Fuel Reduction Pri	orities
Treatment Area	Treatment Types	Treatment Method(s)
1. Adjacent WUI Lands	Reduce hazardous fuels	Encourage prescribed burning for private landowners and industrial timberlands particularly adjacent to residential areas.
		Seek grant for mowing or prescribed burning in WUI areas.
2. Existing Fire Lines	Reduce hazardous fuels	Clean and re-harrow existing lines.
Proposed Improved Com	munity Wildland Fire Res	ponse Priorities
1. Water Sources	Dry Hydrants	Inspect, maintain and improve access to existing dry hydrants. Add signage along road to mark the hydrants.
		Locate additional dry hydrants or drafting locations needed.
		Locate and pre-clear helicopter dip sites.
		Map location of dry hydrants.
2. Fire Stations	Equipment	Seek grants or other funding for Wildland hand tools and lightweight Wildland PPE Gear.
3. Personnel	Training	Obtain Wildland Fire Suppression training for Fire Personnel.
**Actions to be taken by hom	eowners and community stake	cholders

Proposed Education and Outreach Priorities

1. Conduct "How to Have a Firewise Home" Workshop for Wilkes County Residents

Set up and conduct a workshop for homeowners that teach the principles of making homes and properties safe from wildfire. Topics for discussion include defensible space, landscaping, building construction, etc. Workshop will be scheduled for evenings or weekends when most homeowners are available and advertised through local media outlets. Target local schools, community groups and local senior centers.

Distribute materials promoting firewise practices and planning through local community and governmental meetings.

2. Conduct "Firewise" Workshop for Community Leaders

Arrange for GFC Firewise program to work with local community leaders and governmental officials on the importance of "Firewise Planning" in developing ordinances and codes as the county as the need arises. Identify "Communities at Risk" within the county for possible firewise community recognition.

3. Spring Clean-up Event

Conduct clean-up event every spring involving the Georgia Forestry Commission, Wilkes County Fire Departments and community residents. Set up information table with educational materials and refreshments. Initiate the event with a morning briefing by GFC Firewise coordinator and local fire officials detailing plans for the day and safety precautions. Activities to include the following:

- Clean flammable vegetative material from roofs and gutters
- Trim shrubs and vines to 30 feet away from structures
- Trim overhanging limbs
- Clean hazardous or flammable debris from adjacent properties

Celebrate the work with a community cookout, with Community officials, GFC and Wilkes County Fire Departments discussing and commending the work accomplished.

4. Informational Packets

Develop and distribute informational packets to be distributed by realtors and insurance agents. Included in the packets are the following:

- Be Firewise Around Your Home
- Firewise Guide to Landscape and Construction
- Firewise Communities USA Bookmarks

5. Wildfire Protection Display

Create and exhibit a display for the general public at the county fairs and other local events. Display can be independent or combined with the Georgia Forestry Commission display.

Hold Open House at individual Fire Stations to promote Community Firewise Safety and develop community support and understanding of local fire departments and current issues.

6. Press

Invite the local news media to community "Firewise" functions for news coverage and regularly submit press releases documenting wildfire risk improvements in Wilkes County.

VIII. ACTION PLAN

Roles and Responsibilities

The following roles and responsibilities have been developed to implement the action plan:

Role	Responsibility
Hazardous Fuels and Structural I	gnitability Reduction
Wilkes County WUI Fire Council	Create this informal team or council comprised of concerned residents, officials from Wilkes County Fire Departments and Georgia Forestry Commission along with the EMA Director. Meet periodically to review progress towards mitigation goals, appoint and delegate special activities, work with federal, state, and local officials to assess progress and develop future goals and action plans. Work with residents to implement projects and firewise activities.
Key Messages to focus on	1 Defensible Space and Firewise Landscaping
	2 Debris Burning Safety
	3 Firewise information for homeowners
	4 Prescribed burning benefits
Communications objectives	 Create public awareness for fire danger and defensible space issues Identify most significant human cause fire issues Enlist public support to help prevent these causes Encourage people to employ fire prevention and defensible spaces in their communities.
Target Audiences	 Homeowners Forest Landowners and users Civic Groups School Groups
Methods	 News Releases Radio and TV PSA's for area stations and cable access channels Personal Contacts Key messages and prevention tips Visuals such as signs, brochures and posters

Spring Clean-up Day	
Event Coordinator	Coordinate day's events and schedule, catering for cookout, guest attendance, and moderate activities the day of the day of the event.
Event Treasurer	Collect funds from residents to cover food, equipment rentals, and supplies.
Publicity Coordinator	Advertise event through neighborhood newsletter, letters to officials, and public service announcements (PSAs) for local media outlets. Publicize post-event through local paper and radio PSAs.
Work Supervisor	Develop volunteer labor force of community residents; develop labor/advisory force from Georgia Forestry Commission, Wilkes County Fire Departments and Emergency Management Agency. Procure needed equipment and supplies. In cooperation with local city and county officials, develop safety protocol. Supervise work and monitor activities for safety the day of the event.

Funding Needs

The following funding is needed to implement the action plan:

Project	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source(s)
 Create a minimum of 30 feet of def space around structures 	ensible Varies	Residents will supply labor and fund required work on their own properties.
2. Reduce structural ignitability by cle flammable vegetation from roofs an appropriately storing firewood, inst skirting around raised structures, st water hoses for ready access, replac needles and mulch around planting flammable material.	nd gutters; alling oring Varies bing pine	Residents will supply labor and fund required work on their own properties.
3. Amend codes and ordinances to probe the driveway access, increased v house numbers, properly stored fire minimum defensible space brush of required Class A roofing materials skirting around raised structures, pl maintenance of community lots.	isibility of wood, earance, and	To be adopted by city and county governments.
4. Spring Cleanup Day	Varies	Community Business Donations.
5. Fuel Reduction Activities	\$35/acre	FEMA & USFS Grants

POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES:

As funding is questionable in these times of tight government budgets and economic uncertainty, unconventional means should be identified whereby the need for funding can be reduced or eliminated. Publications / Brochures –

- FIREWISE materials are available for cost of shipping only at <u>www.firewise.org</u>.
- Another source of mitigation information can be found at <u>www.nfpa.org</u>.
- Access to reduced cost or free of charge copy services should be sought whereby publications can be reproduced.
- Free of charge public meeting areas should be identified where communities could gather to be educated regarding prevention and firewise principles.

Mitigation –

- Community Protection Grant:
 - USFS sponsored prescribed burn program. Communities with at risk properties that lie within 3 miles of the USFS border may apply with the GFC to have their forest land prescribed burned free of charge.
- FEMA Mitigation Policy MRR-2-08-01: through GEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) and Pre Disaster Mitigation (PDM)
 - To provide technical and financial assistance to local governments to assist in the implementation of long term cost effective hazard mitigation measures.
 - This policy addresses wildfire mitigation for the purpose of reducing the threat to all-risk structures through creating defensible space, structural protection through the application of ignition resistant construction, and limited hazardous fuels reduction to protect life and property.
 - With a complete and registered plan (addendum to the State plan) counties can apply for premitigation funding. They will also be eligible for HMGP if the county is declared under a wildfire disaster.
- GFC Plowing and burning assistance can be provided through the Georgia Forestry Commission as a low cost option for mitigation efforts.
- Individual Homeowners
 - In most cases of structural protection ultimately falls on the responsibility of the community and the homeowner. They will bear the cost; yet they will reap the benefit from properly implemented mitigation efforts.
 - GEMA Grant PDM (See above)

Ultimately it is our goal to help the communities by identifying the communities threatened with a high risk to wildfire and educate those communities on methods to implement on reducing those risks.

Assessment Strategy

To accurately assess progress and effectiveness for the action plan, the Wilkes County WUI Fire Council will implement the following:

- Annual wildfire risk assessment will be conducted to re-assess wildfire hazards and prioritize needed actions.
- Mitigation efforts that are recurring (such as mowing, burning, and clearing of defensible space) will be incorporated into an annual renewal of the original action plan.
- Mitigation efforts that could not be funded in the requested year will be incorporated into the annual renewal of the original action plan.
- Continuing educational and outreach programs will be conducted and assessed for effectiveness. Workshops will be evaluated based on attendance and post surveys that are distributed by mail 1 month and 6 months following workshop date.
- The Wilkes County WUI Council will publish an annual report detailing mitigation projects initiated and completed, progress for ongoing actions, funds received, funds spent, and in-kind services utilized. The report will include a "state of the community" section that critically evaluates mitigation progress and identifies areas for improvement. Recommendations will be incorporated into the annual renewal of the action plan.
- An annual survey will be distributed to residents soliciting information on individual mitigation efforts on their own property (e.g., defensible space). Responses will be tallied and reviewed at the next Wilkes County WUI Council meeting. Needed actions will be discussed and delegated.

This plan should become a working document that is shared by local, state, and federal agencies that will use it to accomplish common goals. An agreed-upon schedule for meeting to review accomplishments, solve problems, and plan for the future should extend beyond the scope of this plan. Without this follow up this plan will have limited value

GEORGIA FORESTRY C O M M I S S I O N



P. O. Box 819, Macon, GA 31202 1-800-GA-TREES GaTrees.org

The Georgia Forestry Commission provides leadership, service, and education in the protection and conservation of Georgia's forest resources. An Equal Opportunity Employer and Service Provider



TIMBER IMPACT ASSESSMENT Georgia Ice Storm, February 11-13, 2014

By: James Johnson, Chip Bates & Gary White, Georgia Forestry Commission (jjohnson@gfc.state.ga.us; cbates@gfc.state.ga.us; gwhite@gfc.state.ga.us)

BACKGROUND

A winter storm impacted multiple southern states and more than 90 Georgia counties experienced some form of winter precipitation, beginning February 11th and lasting through the 13th. Northern tier counties recorded snowfalls of up to 13" (Rabun County), and although some timber / tree impacts occurred in this "snow zone," they were not widespread or considered severe.

During the storm, ice accumulation was measured from between a tenth of an inch and one inch (or possibly higher) in a zone from roughly north metro Atlanta to Augusta in northern Georgia, and from Macon to Sylvania in central Georgia. Because ice is much heavier than snow, widespread tree damage occurred, resulting in power disruption to nearly a million customers.

Governor Deal declared a state of emergency on Monday, February 10th, and a presidential declaration of emergency was issued as the storm hit the state. The map below depicts this zone (*Figure 1*).

The National Weather Service provided estimates of ice accumulations, and this information, coupled with field observation reports, helped define the area surveyed by the Georgia Forestry Commission for timber impact accounts. Small amounts of ice are known to affect trees, and higher amounts (especially exceeding three-fourths of an inch) can cause serious damage to certain timber types and age classes.

Another factor that affects tree damage is wind. Once ice accumulations peaked, a cold front moved through the state. Although wind speed varied, some areas reported winds of up to 35mph. Even minor winds during ice-loading can break or uproot trees. These occurrences were a major factor in the timber / tree damage associated with this storm, and may account for some of the variability detected.



Figure 1: Counties included in the presidential declaration zone

OBSERVATIONS

A team of Georgia Forestry Commission foresters surveyed the zone believed to have endured the greatest impacts to our forests, and developed the map below. Please note that damage was observed beyond these counties, but it tended to be less intense than those shown by the map's shaded areas. Some of the highlighted counties had tremendous variations in the amount of damage observed. In addition, timber damage evaluation surveys were separated into rough categories of damage (at the county level), isolated timber stands within counties in the two lesser categories may have severe damage, and stands in the severe counties may only have minor damage. The variability of damage to similar stands even a few miles apart was extreme, so mangers should carefully evaluate timber throughout this broad region.



Figure 2: Counties with widespread Ice Damage

This survey examined landscape-level impacts and classifies them accordingly.

The categories of damage are based upon field observations about:

- Occurrence (frequency) of damage within a county.
- Levels of damage within two types of pine that were most frequently damaged (young pine stands, and pine stands on which a firstthinning had recently occurred.)

Ice Damage Intensity:

Light to moderate damage – Only branches and limbs broken from the tree, with minor damage to the overall stand and trees bent less than 45 degrees. No salvage operation will be necessary and the stand should recover with no additional management requirements, though long term yields will likely be impacted.

Moderate to severe damage – Branches and limbs broken from the trees with damage to the overall stand. More than 25% of stems broken and a salvage operation should be considered to minimize losses and remove trees that likely will not survive.

Severe damage – More than 30% of stems broken, tops broken out across the stand, limbs stripped, and trees bent more than 45 degrees. A salvage operation must be considered and a clearcut may be the prudent management decision.

Ice damage was not detected on most timber types but was concentrated on two types of pine: recently thinned pine stands, and younger stands less than 25 feet in height.

Recently thinned pine stands: These are primarily pine plantations that were thinned for the *first time* within the past several years. Trees adjust to the amount of space and competition within a stand, and those that have been thinned for the first time are adjusting to reduced protection from neighboring trees and are growing in diameter, which strengthens the main stem. They also respond by accelerating root growth which helps anchor the tree and aids in the increased moisture uptake needed to support larger live crowns. Depending on residual stand-density after thinning, it takes trees about five years to fully respond to the increased growing space. In the meantime, they are more prone to wind (and ice) damage.

These stands were particularly hard hit, which is unfortunate for landowners who have invested 15 to 20plus years of growth getting their trees to this size. First-thinnings typically remove lower value wood (such as pulpwood / fuel wood), with the objective of allowing the residual stand to produce higher value products (such as sawtimber, plywood, and poles). From an investment standpoint, timber growth following a first thinning maximizes profits, so salvaging an ice-damaged stand is a devastating blow to expected returns.



Photo (left) – Twenty-one year old loblolly stand in Burke County; suffered over 30% stem breakage.

Thinning likely occurred two years ago.

Photo (right) – Nineteen year old loblolly stand in Jefferson County; suffered almost 50% stem breakage.

Thinning occurred within the past year.



Numerous older pine stands that had been thinned twice (or more) were also examined. Although some had damage, most would be considered minor, with many not requiring a salvage operation. The damage in these stands tended to be uprooted trees rather than stem breakage. This type of wind throw (tree that is completely uprooted) in older stands seemed prevalent throughout the region.

Landowners and managers of storm-damaged stands are highly encouraged to read and understand the implications of ice on different types of stands. Web links which provide detailed guidance are provided on the last page of this document.

Young pine stands: Pine plantations (of most species) that were 25 feet and taller - and *had never been thinned* - seemed to weather this ice storm well. The ability of dense stands to provide tree-to-tree support and prevent winds from uprooting individual trees was a big factor in these stands' withstanding minimal damage. Younger (and shorter) stands, however, didn't fare as well. One of the critical factors seemed to be that the trees still had many live branches almost to ground level, which likely accumulated so much ice that breaking points were reached for limbs and main stems.

Young stands of about six feet in height also seemed to fair well. Some of these have many bent stems (with some breakage), but young trees tend to correct this problem.

Some younger loblolly stands were damaged (especially in the counties noted as "Severe" on the map on page 2), but more damage occurred on longleaf and slash pine. Longleaf stands suffered the worst damage with stem and limb breakage but no stands seen were completely leveled. The resiliency of nature can be surprising, and the fate of these stands will become evident over the next few years. When tops break out, a lateral branch will assume dominance and there will be variation in long-term stem straightness.

Careful examination will be needed to determine the amount of permanent problems this storm has inflicted on each stand. Re-evaluation after the next growing season should give managers a better perspective on what lies ahead.



Photo (Left) – Five year old slash pine stand in Burke County showing many bent and leaning trees, with some breakage. Note the many leaning trees with limb breakage.

Photo (Right) – Nine year old longleaf pine stand in Burke County showing top and limb breakage. Note the many tops broken and some limb breakage.



EXTENT OF DAMAGE

GFC foresters evaluated the counties noted on the previous map and developed estimates of damage based upon a combination of this field work combined with a geospatial analysis of this region. These estimates do not include areas outside this zone, nor do they include hardwood, which was also impacted. Most hardwood damage consisted of limb and top breakage with most trees retaining enough live branches to support survival. Damage can be expected in the growth form of these trees and possibly in sluggish growth rates.

For pine type timber, an estimated 70,000+ acres were impacted, valued in excess of \$65 million. The majority of these acres (61,000+) were in the recently thinned pine category. This estimate doesn't include damage outside of the zone shown on the map (page 2), and it does not account for hardwood damage acreages or values, so it should be considered conservative. Some of the merchantable pine will likely be salvaged, which could reduce the damage estimate somewhat. However, the values used were based upon landowners intending to grow these stands for at least 30 years, with the growing objective of solid wood products (sawtimber, plywood, and poles). So even if salvage occurs, part of the "loss" is in the future growth of these higher value products.

RECOMMENDATIONS

With the wide range of damage inflicted by this ice storm, there will likely be three distinct categories by which landowners make their evaluations:

- Light damage or losses that may not warrant a salvage operation. This could include merchantable stands (trees are large enough to sell), which simply don't have enough timber damage to warrant a commercial harvest, or pre-merchantable stands where there is a good chance they will recover over time.
- 2) Stands with significant damage, mandating a salvage operation to recoup whatever value can be obtained from the stand. This might include a complete harvest for widespread damage, or a partial harvest of damaged timber to provide a commercial harvest.
- 3) Situations falling between the two scenarios above, in which a good bit of the timber is damaged but there might be enough timber to leave growing. In these cases, landowners are encouraged to use the services of a professional forester to help make the best decision for the situation. Immediately following a storm, it is difficult for landowners to accurately gauge how well a stand may recover, or to measure the amount of timber that could be allowed to remain for future growth and income.

For landowners facing a complete harvest to salvage their damaged timber, please consider reforesting the area. The Farm Service Agency has a cost share program that can assist with site preparation and planting costs called the Emergency Forest Restoration Program (EFRP). Apply at your local office.

Special thanks to other GFC foresters who helped develop this information: Jeff Kastle, Chris Thompson, Chris Howell, Chris Barnes, Jeremy Hughes and Charles Bailey

URBAN TREE ASSESSMENTS

Georgia Forestry Commission certified arborist/foresters surveyed damage and storm-generated tree debris left to be removed from urban and rural communities. Survey results showed counties that experienced the most damage to their rural stands also suffered the most damage to their urban trees. The highest amount of damage, as one might expect, was found in Burke County.

Neighborhoods with large pine trees experienced the most loss, with the bulk of damage to branches and tree tops which were broken by the weight of ice. Additionally, "leaf on" trees, such as magnolia and cherry laurel, and old water oaks with structural issues, made up a large component of community forest tree failure. Crews observed very few trees that were completely destroyed or uprooted by the storm.

Much debris remains to be cut and stacked by homeowners and tree care companies before its removal from community rights-of-way can begin. Many trees that have lost more than 50% of their limbs, and trees that have been uprooted or split so that heartwood of the main trunk is evident, will need to be removed. Otherwise, impacted trees will require pruning, with particular attention being paid to higher risk trees with "hangers" (limbs broken, but not yet detached) and split limbs (see photo below). This will likely increase beyond initial assessments the total biomass that will eventually be collected.



Although the tree at left suffered minor ice damage, notice the branches that are broken and still hanging in the tree. These could impact the structure, the vehicle or humans. These "hangers" should be removed.

The pine tree at right lost half of the living portion of its crown and pruning is needed to remove branch stubs.



Special thanks to GFC foresters who helped with field work: Gary White, Joe Burgess, Joan Scales, Mark McClellan, Jeremy Hughes, Keith Murphy, Chris Howell and also Mark Millirons. These resources can help forest landowners learn more about options and considerations for situations in which trees have been damaged by winter weather:

TIMBERLAND WIND / ICE DAMAGE:

How to Evaluate and Manage Storm-Damaged Forest Areas: <u>http://www.fs.fed.us/r8/foresthealth/pubs/storm_damage/contents.html</u>

Evaluating wind / ice damage stands: <u>http://www.forestry.uga.edu/outreach/pubs/pdf/forestry/assessing_tornado_damaged_forest_stands</u> <u>5-30-08_1.pdf</u>

Wind Wood Utilization (this has numerous documents and links that are beneficial): <u>http://www.windwoodutilization.org/salvage.asp</u>

URBAN AND HAZARD TREE SAFETY:

http://www.gatrees.org/community-forests/management/trees-storm-safety/

Excellent site for Storm Damage...with an Urban Forestry angle: http://hort.ifas.ufl.edu/treesandhurricanes/

TAXES:

National Timber Tax website (Master Index has good list of subject areas): http://www.timbertax.org/

TIMBER SALES:

General information: http://www.gatrees.org/forest-management/private-forest-management/timber-selling/

Landowners are encouraged to utilize professional foresters and arborists to help with decisions about timber management or potentially hazardous trees around homes and urban environments. Seeking independent advice is a sound way to reduce hasty judgments and insure all available options are considered.



USDA United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service

In cooperation with University of Georgia, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Agricultural Experiment Stations

Soil Survey of Lincoln and Wilkes Counties, Georgia





How To Use This Soil Survey

General Soil Map

The general soil map, which is a color map, shows the survey area divided into groups of associated soils called general soil map units. This map is useful in planning the use and management of large areas.

To find information about your area of interest, locate that area on the map, identify the name of the map unit in the area on the color-coded map legend, then refer to the section General Soil Map Units for a general description of the soils in your area.

Detailed Soil Maps

The detailed soil maps can be useful in planning the use and management of small areas.

To find information about your area of interest, locate that area on the Index to Map Sheets. Note the number of the map sheet and turn to that sheet.

Locate your area of interest on the map sheet. Note the map unit symbols that are in that area. Turn to the **Contents**, which lists the map units by symbol and name and shows the page where each map unit is described.

The **Contents** shows which table has data on a specific land use for each detailed soil map unit. Also see the **Contents** for sections of this publication that may address your specific needs.



This soil survey is a publication of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the agricultural research services, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly the Soil Conservation Service) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Major fieldwork for this soil survey was completed in 1995. Soil names and descriptions were approved in 1994. Unless otherwise indicated, statements in this publication refer to conditions in the survey area in 1995. This soil survey was made cooperatively by the Natural Resources Conservation Service and the University of Georgia, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Agricultural Experiment Stations. It is part of the technical assistance furnished to the Broad River and Lincoln County Soil and Water Conservation Districts.

Soil maps in this survey may be copied without permission. Enlargement of these maps, however, could cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping. If enlarged, maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a larger scale.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in its programs on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, and marital or familial status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audio tape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint, write the Secretary of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250 or call 1-800-245-6340 (voice) or (202) 720-1127 (TDD). USDA is an equal employment opportunity employer.

Cover: Livestock production in an area of Pacolet fine gravelly loamy coarse sand, 2 to 6 percent slopes.

Additional information about the Nation's natural resources is available online from the Natural Resources Conservation Service at http://www.nrcs.usda.gov.

Contents

How To Use This Soil Survey	i
Foreword	VII
General Nature of the Survey Area	
How This Survey Was Made	. 5
Survey Procedures	
General Soil Map Units	
1. Toccoa-Cartecay-Shellbluff	
2. Cecil-Pacolet-Madison	
3. Wedowee-Appling-Helena	
4. Pacolet-Rion-Ashlar	
5. Mecklenburg-Zion-Enon	
6. Georgeville-Herndon-Badin	13
Detailed Soil Map Units	15
AkA—Altavista sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	16
AmB-Appling sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	18
AmC-Appling sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	20
BaC-Badin silt loam, 2 to 10 percent slopes	22
BaE—Badin silt loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes	24
Ca-Cartecay loam, frequently flooded	26
CeBCecil sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	28
CeC-Cecil sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	29
CfC2-Cecil sandy clay loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	31
CgC-Cecil-Urban land complex, 2 to 10 percent slopes	34
Ch-Chewacla loam, frequently flooded	36
Dp-Dumps-Pits complex	38
EnB—Enon fine sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	40
EnC-Enon fine sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	41
FrA-Fork silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	43
GeB—Georgeville silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	45
GeC-Georgeville silt loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	47
GeE-Georgeville silt loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes	49
GoC2-Georgeville clay loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	51
GoE2-Georgeville clay loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	53
GuC—Georgeville-Urban land complex, 2 to 10 percent slopes	56
HeB-Helena sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	58
HeC-Helena sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	60
HeD-Helena sandy loam, 10 to 15 percent slopes	62
HnB-Herndon very fine sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	65
HnC-Herndon very fine sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	67
LdB-Lloyd loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	69
LdC-Lloyd loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	70
LeC2-Llovd clay loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	72
LeE2—Lloyd clay loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	75
LxC-Lloyd-Urban land complex, 2 to 10 percent slopes	77
MaB-Madison sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	79

MaC-Madison sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	. 81
MaE-Madison sandy loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes	. 83
MdC2-Madison sandy clay loarn, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	. 85
MdE2-Madison sandy clay loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	. 87
MkB-Mecklenburg sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	. 89
MkC-Mecklenburg sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	. 91
MkE-Mecklenburg sandy loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes	
MnC2-Mecklenburg sandy clay loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	. 95
MnE2-Mecklenburg sandy clay loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	. 97
PaB-Pacolet fine gravelly loamy coarse sand, 2 to 6 percent slopes	
PaC-Pacolet fine gravelly loamy coarse sand, 6 to 10 percent slopes	102
PaE—Pacolet fine gravelly loamy coarse sand, 10 to 25 percent slopes	104
PcB-Pacolet sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	106
PcC-Pacolet sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	108
PcE-Pacolet sandy loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes	110
PeC2-Pacolet sandy clay loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	112
PeE2—Pacolet sandy clay loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	114
PfE—Pacolet-Udorthents complex, 10 to 25 percent slopes	116
PgB-Pageland silt loam, 0 to 6 percent slopes	
Pr-Pits, quarry	120
RaE-Rion-Ashlar-Wake complex, 10 to 25 percent slopes	
ReC-Rion-Wateree-Wake complex, 2 to 10 percent slopes	
Ro-Roancke silt loam, occasionally flooded	
Sh-Shellbluff silt loam, occasionally flooded	
To-Toccoa loam, occasionally flooded	
Ue—Udorthents, excavated	
Ur-Udorthents, loamy	
WeC-Wedowee sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes	
WeE-Wedowee sandy loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes	
Wf-Wehadkee loam, ponded	138
WhB-Wickham sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	
WkF-Wilkes gravelly loam, 10 to 40 percent slopes, very stony	
ZnC-Zion silt loam, 2 to 10 percent slopes	
ZnE—Zion silt loam, 10 to 25 percent slopes	147
Use and Management of the Soils	151
Crops and Pasture	
Woodland Management and Productivity	
Recreation	
Wildlife Habitat	
Engineering	
Soil Properties	169
Engineering Index Properties	169
Physical and Chemical Properties	
Soil and Water Features	172

Classification of the Soils	
Soil Series and Their Morphology	
Altavista Series	
Appling Series	
Ashlar Series	179
Badin Series	181
Cartecay Series	183
Cecil Series	
Chewacla Series	
Enon Series	188
Fork Series	
Georgeville Series	
Helena Series	
Herndon Series	
Lloyd Series	
Madison Series	
Mecklenburg Series	
Pacolet Series	
Pageland Series	
Rion Series	
Roanoke Series	
Shellbluff Series	
Toccoa Series	
Wake Series	
Wateree Series	
Wedowee Series	
Wehadkee Series	
Wickham Series	
Wilkes Series	
Zion Series	
Formation of the Soils	
Factors of Soil Formation	
Processes of Horizon Differentiation	
Geology and Soils	
References	
Glossary	
Tables	245
Table 1.—Temperature and Precipitation	
Table 2.—Freeze Dates in Spring and Fall	
Table 3.—Growing Season	
Table 4.—Acreage and Proportionate Extent of the Soils	248
Table 5.—Land Capability and Yields per Acre of Crops and Pasture	
Table 6.—Prime Farmland	
Table 7.—Woodland Management and Productivity	255

Table 8.—Recreational Development	262
Table 9.—Wildlife Habitat	267
Table 10.—Building Site Development	271
Table 11.—Sanitary Facilities	276
Table 12.—Construction Materials	281
Table 13.—Water Management	285
Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties	289
Table 15.—Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soils	296
Table 16.—Soil and Water Features	300
Table 17.—Classification of the Soils	303

Issued 2006

Foreword

This soil survey contains information that affects land use planning in Lincoln and Wilkes Counties. It contains predictions of soil behavior for selected land uses. The survey also highlights soil limitations, improvements needed to overcome the limitations, and the impact of selected land uses on the environment.

This soil survey is designed for many different users. Farmers, foresters, and agronomists can use it to evaluate the potential of the soil and the management needed for maximum food and fiber production. Planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers can use the survey to plan land use, select sites for construction, and identify special practices needed to ensure proper performance. Conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, wildlife management, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the survey to help them understand, protect, and enhance the environment.

Various regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. The information in this report is intended to identify soil properties that are used in making various decisions for land use or land treatment. Statements made in this report are intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are shallow to bedrock. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

These and many other soil properties that affect land use are described in this soil survey. Broad areas of soils are shown on the general soil map. The location of each soil is shown on the detailed soil maps. Each soil in the survey area is described. Information on specific uses is given for each soil. Help in using this publication and additional information are available at the local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service or the Cooperative Extension Service.

James E. Tillman, Sr. State Conservationist Natural Resources Conservation Service

Productivity
and
Jeat
Manager
1Woodland
Table

(Only the soils suitable for production of commercial trees are listed. Absence of an entry indicates that information was not available)

			Mana	Management con	concerns		Potential productivity	ictivit	X	
Soil name and	ordi-		Equip-							
map symbol	nation	nation Erosion	ment	Seedling	Wind-	Plant	Comon trees	Site	Produc-	Trees to plant
	[gympo]	symbol [hazard	limita-	<u>B</u>	throw	competi-		index	index tivity	
			tion	I tty I	hazard	tion			class*	
NkA	¥6	Slight	slight	Slight	Blight	Moderate	Loblolly pine	91	6	Loblolly pine, yellow-
Altavista			_	_		_	Sweetgum	8	9	poplar, sweetgum.
							Yellow-poplar			
And, And	84	 Sliabt	 Sliaht	 Sliaht	gliaht	Moderate	 Mođerate Loblolly ning	84	œ	l Lobioliv pime, veliow-
Appling			,				White oak	64	2	
							Yellow-poplar	88	Q	
BaC	08	 ßlight	 Blight	 Blight	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate Moderate Lobiolly pine	60	Ø	l Loblolly pine.
Badin			_	-			Shortleaf pine	68	7	1
	_	_	_			_	White oak	63	m	
	_	_	_	_	_	_	Scarlet oak	59	កា	
	_			_			Chestnut oak	66	m	
3									1	
		Moderate	Moderate Moderate Slight		Moderate	Moderate	Moderate Moderate Lobiolly pine	08	8	Lobiolly pine.
Badin							Shortleaf pine	68	2	
							White oak	63	m	
							Scarlet oak	65	n	
						_	Chestnut oak	99	m	
ŝ	-	wa 1 - 1 - 1							•	
	40T	JUBTE	 woosrace siignc		DUBTTS	MOGEREE	anti Attotoot ateacer		P	Paged Attorney
LAICUCAY									o a	
							Water oak	, 1	o vo	
CeB, CeC	N8	Blight	Blight	Blight	siight	Moderate	Noderate Loblolly pine	693	80	Loblolly pine.
Cecil	_	_	_	_		_	White oak	79	+	
	_	_	_	_		_	Southern red oak	79	4	_
	_	_	_	_	_	_	Scarlet cak	81	+	_
	_	_	_	_		_	Sveatgum	76	ŝ	_
							Yellow-poplar	92	Q	
CfC2	70	 Sliaht	Moderate	Moderate Moderate 51 i aht	sliaht	Moderate	Moderate Lobioily pine	72	2	 Lobioliv pipe.
Cecil							White oak	9	m	
		_	_		_			_		_
cgc**;		_	_	-						
Cecil	8	slight	Blight	Slight	slight	Moderate	Moderate Lobiolly pine	6		Loblolly pine.
								29	•	
							Southern red oak	61	•	
		_					Scarlet oak	6	•	
								2	n I	
	_				-	_		22	ø	

See footnotes at end of table.

	_	_	Manag	Management con	concerns		Potential productivity	ctivit	X	
Soil name and	Ord1-		Equip-							
lodaya qam	nation symbol	nation Brosion symbol hazard	ment limita-	Seedling	wind- throw	Plant competi-	Common trees	Site	Site Produc- index tivity	Trees to plant
			tion	1 tty	hazard	tion			class*	
								ļ	i.	
CgC**; refer lend										
Ch	ML	slight	Moderate Blight	Blight	Moderate Severe	Severe	Yellow-poplar	95	2	Sveetgum,
Chewacla	_	_					Loblolly pine	5	10	yellow-poplar,
						_	Sveetguar	16	<u>о</u> і	loblolly pine.
							Water oak	OB	n	
and the second sec	78	ցլլորե	 Moderate 215cht	R1 ioht	s) faht	Islabt	1.0hlollv nine	73	~	Lobioliv pine.
Roon	!						Sveetous	87	5	
							White oak			
	_		_	_			Yellow-poplar	69	9	
							H1ckory	}	1	
	700	R1 4 mht	 Moderate 814abt	Blicht	gliaht	Revere	l loblolly pine	06	<i>о</i>	 Loblolly pine, vellow-
Tork							Sweet gum	6	-	poplar.
							Yellow-poplar	90	9	_
	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		
GeB, GeC	88 	slight	giight	Slight	slight	Slight	Loblolly pine	81	•	Lobiolly pine,
Georgeville	_		_	_	_	_	White oak	69	-	black walnut, yellow-
	_				_	_	scarlet cak	20	-	poplar.
							Southern red oak	63	ო 	
	8	Nadara ta	Wadawata	ol take	a14abt	 c1 i cht	Induced a second	18	•	 I.obhollv nine.
G85			NOUBERATO NOUBERAL DI 1941		ומדדמנור			1 5	• •	black walnut. vellow-
BTTTABATOO								02		poplar.
							Bouthern red oak	67	- m	
	_							_	_	_
GoC2GoC2	5	Blight 	Moderate	Moderate Woderate Slight 		s1ight 	Lobiolly ping	70	<u>ہ</u>	Loblolly pine.
Gož2Gož2Gož2Gož2	90	Moderate	gevere	Moderate	Blight	glight	Lobiolly pine	70	u	Loblolly pine.
GuC**: Georgeville	8	s]icht	g1 foht	Bliaht	 Sliaht	 glicht	toblolly pine	81	•	 Lablolly pine,
							White oak	69	-	black walnut, yellow-
		-					Bcarlet oak	70	•	poplar.
					_	_	Southern red oak	67	m	
					10					
Urban land.										
	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity--Continued

256

See footnotes at end of table.
ProductivityContinued	
and	
Management	
7Woodland	
Table	

Boll mase and any pyobol and pyob and pyobol and pyob and pyobol and pyob and pyob				Mana	Management concerns	ICOLDS	-	Potential productivity	uctivit	X	
4. Hab 8. Bilght: Bilgh		Ordi- nation symbol	Erosion hazard	Equip- ment limita-			Plant competi-	Common trees		Produc- tivity	Trees to plant
4. Hab BAL [Silght Bilght B				-	-						
BAIlght Blight	HeB, HeC, HeD	8¥	 slight	 81ight	 Slight		Severe			0	 Loblolly pine, yellow-
and. BA Blight <	Helena	_	_				_	Yellow-poplar	-	1	poplar.
BA Black out BA Black out 65 3			_				_	Sweetgum			
and. BA [Blight Blight Blight Blight Iobholiy pine and B1 [glight Blight Blight Blight Iobholiy pine B0 B0 B0		_	_	_	_	_	_	Black oak			
BA Blight		_		_	_	_	_	Willow oak		1	
al. Bilght Bilght Bilght Bilght Bilght Bilght Cohlolly pine 80 8 al. Bilght Bilght Bilght Bilght Bilght Cohlolly pine 80 8 al. Filght Bilght Bilght Bilght Cohlolly pine 80 8 al. Filght Bilght Bilght Bilght Cohlolly pine 80 8 al. Filght Bilght Bilght Cohlolly pine 80 8 al. Filght Bilght Coherate State Cok 80 8 al. Filght Bilght Coherate Cok 80 8 al. Filght Coherate Cok 85 8 al. Filght Coherate Cok								American elm			
and. Bilght Siight Siight Siight Dearant Collorily pine 65 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	and the second sec		44010	a14~44	4407	1441	_		50	a	roblette adaa valtau
<pre> 81 slight slight slight slight slight slight slight soluther red cak 72 6 72 slight slight slight slight soluther red cak 60 4 72 slight slight slight slight soluther red cak 60 4 72 slight slight slight slight soluther red cak 73 4 73 8 74 8 8 74 8 8 74 8</pre>	Herndon										contrar winds rented
and. BA Slight Slight Slight Slight Moderate Collolly pine 91 6 BA Slight Slight Slight Slight Noderate Collolly pine 91 6 C Suithern red oak 90 4 Naite oak 90 4 Naite oak 91 6 Suithern red oak 91 7 Suithern red oak 71 7 Suithern red oak 75 4								Southern red oak	3 5		
BA Slight Slight Slight Suthern red ox SS SS T Suthern red ox Suthern red ox SS SS <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Yellow-poplar</td> <td>16</td> <td>vo</td> <td></td>								Yellow-poplar	16	vo	
BA Blight		_	_	_	_		-		_		_
TC Bilght Muite oak 80 4 TC Bilght Moderate Bilght Bilght 11 7 TC Bilght Moderate Bilght Bilght Bilght 12 TC Bilght Moderate Bilght Bilght 12 7 TR Moderate Moderate Bilght Bilght 10 4 TR Moderate Bilght Bilght Bilght 10 4 TR Moderate Bilght Bilght Bilght 10 4 Muite oak 73 7 8 Muite oak 73 7 8 Muite oak 75 4 Muite oak 75 75		1 BA	slight	Slight	Slight	Blight	Moderate	Loblolly pine	85	8	Lobiolly pine.
31 Multe oak 80 4 7C Slight Slight Slight 21 7 7C Slight Slight Slight 21 7 7 Slight Slight Slight 26 7 7 Slight Slight Slight 26 7 7 Slight Slight Slight 26 7 8 Slight Slight Slight 27 8 8 Slight Slight Slight 26 7 8 Slight Slight Slight 80 8 8 8 Slight Slight Slight 80 8 8 8 8 Slight Slight Slight Slight 8 8 8 8 8 Slight Slight Slight 8 8 8 8	Lloyd	_		_	_		-	Southern red oak	80	-	
7C Blight Mcderate Mcderate Slight Shortlaaf pine 85 6 7C Blight Mcderate Mcderate Slight Shortlaaf pine 85 6 77 Muits cak 71 7 78 Muits cak 77 7 71 9.1 glight Blight Blight Lobiolly pine 85 8 84 Slight Slight Slight Slight Blight Mcderate Cololly pine 85 8 84 Slight Slight Slight Slight Blight Mcderate Cololly pine 85 8 84 Slight Slight Slight Blight Mcderate Cololly pine 85 8 84 Slight Slight Slight Blight Mcderate Cololly pine 85 8 84 Slight Slight Slight Bevere Cololly pine 80 8 84 Slight Slight Slight Bevere Cololly pine 80 8 84 Slight Slight Slight Bevere Cololly pine 80 8 84 Mcderate Slight Slight Bevere Cololly pine 80 8 84 Mcderate Slight Slight Bevere Cololly pine 80 8 84 Mcderate Slight Slight Bevere Cololly pine 80 8 84 Mcderate Slight Bevere Cololly pine 75 75 8 75 8 71 75 8		_		_	_		-		8	•	
TC Blight Blight Blortlaaf pine 71 7 Nhita cak 68 7 Mhita cak 70 8 Noderate Noderate 81ght Blortlaaf pine 70 8 Noderate Noderate 81ight Blortlaaf pine 71 7 Mite cak 75 8 8 Moderate Noderate 81ight Blouthern red cak 71 7 Moderate 81ight 81ight 800thern red cak 70 8 Moderate 81ight 81ight Noite cak 85 8 Moderate 81ight 81ight Noite cak 75 8 Moderate 81ight 800thern red cak 75 8 Moderate 800thern red cak 75 8 8 Moderate 81ight 800thern red cak 75 8 Moderate 800thern red cak 75 8 7 Moderate 800thern sed cak 75 8 7 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>Yellow-poplar</td> <td>82</td> <td>9</td> <td></td>							_	Yellow-poplar	82	9	
And Balaght Blight Blight Blight Blight Blight Blight Conthern red oak 71 71 TR Moderate Moderate Moderate Blight Blight Blight Blight Blight 71 71 71 TR Moderate Moderate Moderate Blight Blight Blight Blight Blight 71 71 71 71 Mate oak Nate oak 71 Nate oak 75 4 Mate oak Blight Blight Blight Blight 81 81 Mate oak Nate oak 75 8 8 8 8 8 Mate oak Blight Blight Blight Blight 8 8 8 8 8 Mate oak Nate oak 8 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>012240</td> <td>Teoret and the</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>;</td> <td>t</td> <td></td>			012240	Teoret and the					;	t	
And Sight S		2	שדדמתר	POCIEZ ELE	Product a ce	311000			: :		entd Attonovi
7R Moderate Moderate Southern red oak 75 * 7R Moderate Moderate Southern red oak 71 7 8A Slight Slight Slight Southern red oak 75 * add. 8A Slight Slight Slight Slight Southern red oak 75 * and. 8A Slight Slight Slight Slight Southern red oak 75 * and. 8A Slight Slight Slight Southern red oak 80 * and. 8A Slight Slight Slight Southern red oak 80 * and. 8A Slight Slight Slight Southern red oak 80 * ************************************	DÁOTA	_									
7R Moderate Moderate Slight Slight Slight Slight 71 7 8A Slight Slight<					_				2	•	
7R Moderate Moderate Slight								Southern red oak		•	
and. 8A Slight <	BE2	7.8	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	slight	-	Loblolly pine	_	5	Loblolly pine.
and. 8A Slight	Lloyd	_			_		-	White oak	_	4	
and. 8A Slight Slight Slight Blight Moderate Loblolly pine 85 8 and. 8A Slight Slight Slight Blight Boutharn red oak 80 4 md. 8A Slight Slight Slight Blight Boutharn red oak 80 4 md. 8A Slight Slight Slight Bovere Loblolly pine 80 4 md. 8A Slight Slight Slight Slight Bovere Loblolly pine 80 4 8A Slight Slight Slight Bovere Loblolly pine 80 8 8A Slight Slight Slight Bovere Loblolly pine 80 8 8A Slight Slight Slight Bovere Loblolly pine 80 8 8A Slight Slight Slight Bovere Loblolly pine 75 4 8A Moderate Moderate Slight Bovere Loblolly pine 75 4 8A Moderate Slight Bovere Scothern red oak 75 4 8A Moderate Slight Slight Bovere Colololly pine 75 4 8A Scothern red oak 75 4								Southern red oak	75	4	
and. 8A [Slight]Slight]Slight]Slight [Slight]Boutharn red oak 85 8 and. 80 (Maite oak 80 4 and. 8A [Slight]Slight]Slight [Slight]Slight [Severe]Cov-poplar 80 4 and. 8A [Slight]Slight [Slight]Slight [Severe]Coblolly pine 80 4 8A [Slight]Slight [Severe]Coblolly pine 80 8 8A [Slight]Slight [Severe]Coblolly pine 75 4 8A [Slight]Slight [Severe]Coblolly pine 75 4 8A [Slight]Slight [Severe]Coblolly pine 75 4 8A [Slight]Slight]Slight [Severe]Coblolly pine 75 4 8A [Slight]Slight]Slight]Slight]Slight [Severe]Coblolly Pine 75 4	i a a i										
and. Boutharn red oak B0 4 md. Mhite cak B0 4 and. Naile cak B0 4 B1 Naile cak B0 8 B1 Bouthern red cak B0 8 B1 Bouthern red cak B1 7 B1 Bovere Lobiolly pine 75 4 B1 Bovere Lobiolly pine 75 4 B1 Bovere Lobiolly pine 75 4 B1 Bovere B0 B 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Llovd	8.4	gliaht	gliaht	glioht	gliaht	Moderate		58	-	Loblolly pine.
and. BA Mhite cak B0 4 and. Nation-poplar B5 5 BA Slight Slight Slight Bight B0 4 BA Slight Slight Slight Slight B1ight Bevere Loblolly pine B5 5 BA Slight Slight Slight Bight Southern red cak 75 4 BA Southern red cak 75 4 7 BA Southern red cak 75 4 BA <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Southern red oak</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>								Southern red oak		-	
and. 84 Slight Slight Slight Slight Bevere Lobiolly pine 85 6 84 Slight Slight Slight Bevere Lobiolly pine 80 8 800thsrn red osk 75 4 75 4 75 7 75 4 75 4 75 4 75 4 75 4									08	-	54 - J
and. 84 Slight Slight Slight Severe Lobiolly pine 89 8 8 9 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		_		_	_				85	9	
and. 84 Slight Slight Slight Savere Loblolly pine 80 8 8 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8									_		
BA Slight	Urban land.										
80 80 80 75 4 80 80 80 96 7 81 81 81 96 7 88 Moderate 81ight 81ight 80 80 88 Moderate 81ight 80 80 88 Moderate 81ight 80 80	aB, MaC	81	Slight	glight	slight	Slight			80	8	Loblolly pine.
	Hadison	_					-	Southern red oak		-	
		_	_	_	_			ł	96	1	
8R Moderate Slight Slight Severe Loblolly pine 80 8 1 1 1 Southern red oak 75 4 1 1 1 Yellow-poplar 96 7								White oak	75	•	
8000000000000000000000000000000000000) Moderate	Moderate					80		l Loblally pine.
1 1	Madison			3.0				Southern rad oak			
								Yellow-poplar			
		_						White oak		4	

Lincoln and Wilkes Counties, Georgia

See footnotes at end of table.

ProductivityContinued	
pue	
Management	
7Woodland	
Table	

			Manag	Management concerns	ICETIS		Potential productivity	ctivit	×	
Soil name and man symbol	ordi-	Ordi-	Equip-	 nu [beag	- Maria	alat			aite Produc-	Trees to slast
	symbol.	symbol hazard	1.23	mortal-	throw	competi-		index	index tivity class*	
MdC2	70	 	Moderate	Moderate Moderate Slight	81ight	8 8 8 8 8	Lobiolly pine White oak		-	Lobiolly pine.
MdB2	7R	Moderate	Moderate Moderate Moderate 21ight	Moderate	slight	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Lobiolly pine	12	7	Loblolly pine.
McB, McC	3 %	 81ight 			81ight	Moderate	Moderate Lobiolly pine [Yellow-poplar [White cak	97	8	 Loblolly pine.
Mcs	ц.	Moderate	Moderate Moderate 911ght		siight	Moderate	Moderate Lobicily pine Yellow-poplar Mhite cak	97 97 1	8	Lobiolly pine.
MnC2, Mng2	<u>к</u>	 81ight 	 Moderate Moderate Slight 	Moderate		Moderate	Noderate Loblolly pine White oak Hickory	9	10 	Loblally pine.
PaB, PaC	69 		slight	811ght	Slight		Lobiolly pine Yellow-poplar Hickory	78	89 VQ I (I (I (Loblolly pine.
Pag	89 99	Hoderate	Moderate Moderate 511ght 		slight		Lobiolly pine Yellow-poplar Hickory			Yellow-poplar, lobicily pine.
Facolet	89 	silght	s1ight 	slåght	slight	81ight	Lobiolly pine Yellow-poplar	90		Lobicity pine.
Pcg	88	Moderate	Moderate Moderate Slight 		slight 	81ight	Lobiolly pine Yellow-poplar Hickory	78 90	00 10 1 1 1 1 1 1	Lobicily pine.
Pec2Pecolet	ຍ ຍ	sıight	Moderate	Moderate 811ght 	\$11ght	811ght	Loblolly pine	70	9 I I I I I I	Lobiolly pine.

See footnotes at end of table.

ProductivityContinued
t and
d Management
7Woodland
Table

	_		STOTE OF COMPANY	wanagement concerns			Antannord Totatasoa	1TAT10			
goil name and map symbol	ordi- nation symbol	ordi- nation grosion symbol hazard	Equip- ment limita- tion	Equip- ment Seedling limita- mortal- tion ity	Wind- throw hazard	Flant competi- tion	Common trees	Site index	Bite Produc- index tivity class*	Trees to plant	
PeE2	ea	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate Moderate 811ght		slight	Lobioily pine	20	9	Loblolly pine.	
PIE**; Pacolat		Hoderate	Moderate Moderate Slight		slight	Blight	Lobiolly pine Hickory White oak		00 I I I I I I	 Lobiolly pine.	
Udorthents.											
Pageland	6W		slight	slight	slight	Moderate 	Moderate Lobiolly pine Boutharn red cak Mhite cak	67	9 	Lobicity pine.	
							Blackgum Yellow-poplar				
Rakre : Rion	в 8	Noderate	Moderate	Koderate Moderate Silght		811ght	Loblolly pine Post oak Southarn red oak Mhite oak	80 65 70	0 m + + 1 1	Loblolly pine.	
Ashlar	88	Moderate	Moderate 81ight		Moderate Slight		Lobiolly pine Red Oak	8 ;	60 t t	Lobiolly pine.	
Wake	22 	 	Noderate	Noderate Noderate Severe 		slight 	Lobiolly pine Hickory Mhite oak	9	1	Lobicily pine.	
Rdon	6	Blight	slight	slight	ßlight	81åght	Lobiolly pine Southern red cak White cak Yellow-poplar	80 90 90	0 4 4 0 I I I	Yellow-poplar, lobiolly pine.	
Wateree		 811ght 	slight	slight	Noderate 81ight	slight	Lobiolly pine Southern red cak Yellow-poplar Mhite cak	77 72 684 68	5- 4- W 44	Lobiolly pine.	

Lincoln and Wilkes Counties, Georgia

1

See footnotes at end of table.

			Mana	Management concerns	cerns		Potential produ	productivity	X	
Soil name and map symbol	Ordi- nation symbol	Ordi- nation Erosion symbol hazard	Equip- ment limita-	50 B	-	Plant competi-	Common trees	Bite index	Bite Froduc- index tivity	Trees to plant
				1 267	nazaro				- 888 - CT 988 -	
ReC**:										
Wake		S11ght	Slight	Moderate Severe	Severe	slight	Loblolly pine	9	un	Loblolly pine.
							Hickory White oak			
Ro	ML	 Blight	Severe	Severe	slight	Severe	2weetgus	- 06	-	 Sweetoum.
Roanoke	_						Willow oak	76	-	
							White cak	1 75	•	
	101	 Slight	 Blight	 Blight	glight	Moderate	Moderate Sweetgum	100	10	 Loblolly pins.
Shellbluff	_		_	_	_	_	Yallow-poplar	105	8	
							gcarlet oak	007	 9	
TO	88	 Slight	slight	 Slight	slight	Moderate	Moderate Loblolly pine	6	Ø	Loblolly pine, yellow-
Toccos		_	_	-			Yellow-poplar	1 107		poplar.
			_				Sweetgum	100	97	
			_	_			Southern red oak			
								-		-
		lottgut				HODDERGE			D 1	ent Artonov
Medowae							Southern red cak		• •	
					_				n	
WeE	88	Moderate	Moderate Moderate Slight		glight	Moderate	Moderate Loblolly pine	80	60	Lobiolly pine.
Nedowee	_	_	-	_			Southern red oak	70	-	
						_	White oak	65	e	
10 C	10	011014						401	6	
	5		DIBADO	impretate moretete pevete	MODELECE	TEVEL .			06	ITALLUW-DODLAI'
Astacked					_				2 G	green asn,
					_		Matar Cak			
								; ;	, ¦	
	_	_					River birch		;	
WhBBrite	V6	slight	slight	Blight	glight	Moderate	Moderate Loblolly pine	90	6	Lobiolly pine.
Wickham							Yellow-poplar	68	9	
				_				8	n.	
							Southern red oak	8	-	
							Breatgum		l	
	_									

1 1 - 1 - - - - -i e A Mar 1 3 5 Table

See footnotes at end of table.

			Mana	Management concerns	COLDE		Potential productivity	uccivit	X	
Soil name and	ordi -		Equip-	_		_				
Iodays qam	nation	nation Brosion	Bent	ment Seedling Wind-	Wind-	Plant	Common trees	Site	Site Produc-	Trees to plant
	symbol.	symbol hazard	11mita-	limita- mortal-	throw	competi-]		index	index tivity	
			tion	Ity	hazard	tion			class*	
WkP		Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	7R Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Loblolly pine	79	•	Lobiolly pine.
Wilkes							Sweetgua	82	9	
		_					Southern red oak	1 76	•	_
				_			Blackjack oak	-		
		_		_			White oak	:	!	
		_	1	_		_	Shagbark hickory	{	!	
		_		_				_	_	
ZnCz	60	Blight	Blight	Blight	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate Moderate Loblolly pine	70	9	Loblolly pine.
zion			7.6%				Red oak	1	!	
	6R				Moderate	Moderate	Moderate Moderate Loblolly pine	70	w	Loblolly pine.
zion	_	_	_	_		_	Red oakline	1	1	

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity -- Continued

* Productivity class is the yield in cubic meters per hectare per year calculated at the age of culmination of mean annual increment for fully stocked natural stands. ** See description of the map unit for composition and behavior characteristics of the map unit.

CSRA REGIONAL PLAN 2035 REGIONAL ASSESSMENT COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION PLAN REGIONAL AGENDA





Table of Contents

A. Regional Assessment
1. Introduction4
2. Potential Regional Issues and Opportunities
2.1 Population8
2.2 Housing9
2.3 Economic Development9
2.4 Land Use10
2.5 Transportation and Community Facilities11
2.6 Natural and Environmental Resources12
2.7 Intergovernmental Coordination12
3. Regional Development Patterns14
3.1 Projected Development Patterns15
3.2 Analysis17
3.3 Areas Requiring Special Attention17
4. Supporting Data21
4.1 Population21
4.2 Housing25
4.3 Economic Development
4.4 Land Use50
4.5 Transportation and Community Facilities52
4.6 Natural and Environmental Resources58
B. Stakeholder Involvement Program
C. Regional Agenda
Introduction
1. Regional Vision
1.1. Vision Statement
1.2. Regional Development Maps83
1.3. Defining Narrative

CSRA REGIONAL PLAN 2035

Areas of Significant Natural or Cultural Resources Likely to be
Impacted by Development87
Areas of Rapid Development90
Areas in Need of Redevelopment93
Areas with Significant Infill Opportunities97
Areas of Significant Disinvestment or Poverty
Fort Gordon and Surrounding Areas103
Areas on the Regionally Important Resources Map not Previously
Addressed105
2. Regional Issues and Opportunities
Population
Housing
Economic Development109
Land Use
Transportation
Community Facilities110
Natural and Cultural Resources 110
Intergovernmental Coordination 111
3. Implementation Program
3.1 Guiding Principles 112
3.2 Performance Standards114
3.3 Strategies and Regional Work Program123
4. Evaluation and Monitoring
Appendix A: Quality Community Objectivesi
Appendix B: Analysis of Quality Community Objectivesii

CSRA REGIONAL PLAN 2035 Regional Assessment

Section 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Regional Plan Overview

The CSRA Regional Plan 2035 (hereinafter 'the Plan') is the long-range plan for the management of the region's projected growth by local governments and the CSRA Regional Commission. The Plan's horizon is twenty years but will be updated in ten years to address changing regional conditions. The process is divided into three distinct parts, per the Regional Planning Requirements established by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs (DCA):

- Regional Assessment: Identification and analysis of existing conditions using available data
- Stakeholder Involvement Program: Strategy for public participation in the development of the Regional Agenda
- Regional Agenda: Regional vision and implementation program

The resulting analysis will assess the state of the region's socioeconomic, land use, and environmental opportunities and threats. The CSRA's vision and goals, together with an appraisal of the region, will set the strategic direction for the regional agenda. The regional agenda establishes program priorities for implementation.

This document contains the Regional Assessment and the Stakeholder Involvement Program, which will set the stage for the development of the Regional Agenda.

1.2 Regional Assessment Overview

This Regional Assessment includes a thorough analysis of issues and opportunities backed by extensive data gathering and analysis. It contains a map of Projected Development Patterns and an assessment of Areas Requiring Special Attention, which includes a range of categories, such as areas where rapid development is occurring or where infill or redevelopment is desirable. Finally, it includes an assessment of the region's development patterns in light of the state's Quality Community Objectives.

1.3 Stakeholder Involvement Program

This program outlines the process for participation by stakeholders in the creation of the Regional Agenda. It identifies stakeholders, outlines participation techniques and includes a schedule for the completion of the Regional Agenda.

1.4 Regional Agenda

The Regional Agenda is the culmination of the planning process. It will include a vision of the CSRA's future, along with an implementation program for how to get there.

1.5 How to Use This Plan

The CSRA Regional Plan is intended to serve as a reference and implementation point for potential users. A number of companion planning documents should be used in conjunction with the Regional Plan. These include:

- CSRA Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy
- Augusta Area Diversification Initiative
- Fort Gordon Joint Land Use Study •
- **CSRA** Regionally Important Resources Plan •
- County and City Comprehensive Plans

Statewide Plans

1.6 The Central Savannah River Area

The Central Savannah River Area (CSRA) encompasses an area nearly 6,500 square miles — the largest political region in the state. Located in the east-central Georgia, along the Savannah River, the CSRA includes 13 counties: Burke, Columbia, Glascock, Hancock, Jefferson, Jenkins, Lincoln, McDuffie, Richmond, Taliaferro, Warren, Washington, and Wilkes (Figure 1). The largest city in the CSRA is Augusta – the economic core of the region.



Figure 1: CSRA Location Map

1.6 About the CSRA Regional Commission

The CSRA Regional Commission (CSRA RC) serves thirteen counties and 41 municipalities in eastcentral Georgia, providing services in the areas of planning and land-use development, grant writing and administration, economic development, historic preservation, and geographic information systems development and implementation to member jurisdictions.

Additionally, the CSRA RC serves as the state-designated Area Agency on Aging (AAA) for the region. In this capacity, the CSRA RC works with local providers to ensure that services for the elderly are provided and monitored. By utilizing pass-through funds from state and federal sources, the Commission's AAA serves as a gateway for programs and resources aimed at helping senior citizens improve the quality of their lives during their retirement years.

The CSRA RC is also the parent company of the CSRA Business Lending. CSRA Business Lending makes loans to small and start-up businesses for the purposes of creating jobs and economic development opportunities within its service area.

Section 2: POTENTIAL REGIONAL ISSUES AND OPPORTUNITIES

2. Potential Issues and Opportunities

This section provides an objective, professional analysis (not based on public or stakeholder input) of the region. This section, presented in divisions relating to classical planning analysis areas such as housing and transportation, presents a preliminary catalog of potential focal points to be examined during the development of Plan.

The Georgia Department of Community Affairs (DCA) publishes a list of typical issues and opportunities as part of the *State Planning Goals and Objectives*. This list, in addition to an evaluation for the region's consistency with the DCA's *Quality Community Objectives*, was used as the starting point for developing the Potential Issues and Opportunities list (please refer to the Appendix of this document for an assessment of the region based on these objectives). Further issues and opportunities were identified as part of a thorough analysis of regional datasets and regional development patterns. The issues and opportunities compiled in this Regional Assessment are preliminary in nature; they will be reexamined and a final list will be assembled as part of the Regional Agenda planning process.

2.1 Population

The population growth illustrated in historical trends is expected to continue over the twenty-year period. However, this growth is not uniform across the CSRA.

- By 2035, the 13-county region's population is projected at 575,304, an increase of approximately 26.5 percent over the 2010 population and 67.4 percent from 1980. This increase will have implications for housing, jobs, transportation, land use, environmental resources, and infrastructure.
- While the urbanized area (Augusta-Richmond and Columbia Counties) has enjoyed population growth, the rural areas continue to lag. Eight of eleven rural counties lost population since the last census. What little population growth is occurring in rural areas is further away from incorporated municipalities, where infrastructure is already established. Should this trend continue, county governments will have to pay more to extend and maintain public services in these areas.
- Household incomes continue to lag the state average. Most concerning, nearly a third of CSRA households are at income levels near or below the poverty line.
- The CSRA is aging rapidly. The proportion of residents 45 years and older has increased 10 percent since 1990, while the proportion of residents under 29 years declined by 8 percent. Needs associated with an aging population (affordable housing, transportation, and medical services) are anticipated to increase over the next twenty years.

Detailed data on population can be found on pages 21 through 25.

2.2 Housing

State Planning Housing Goal: To ensure that all residents of the state have access to adequate and affordable housing.

The CSRA's housing stock is both a strength and weakness for residents.

- The region's housing stock contains a good balance of owner and rental units (55 percent and 30 percent respectively).
- Housing stocks are plentiful in the urbanized area but inadequate in rural counties. Although the official vacancy rate stands at 15 percent, over a third of vacant units are unavailable for purchase or rent. Another 17.2 percent of the region's housing is valued at less than \$50,000, an indicator of poor housing conditions.
- Median (\$99,937) and average (\$127,997) housing values are among the lowest in the state and nation. Low housing costs are a major reason for the CSRA's low cost of living, and a major strength for new residents and business attraction.
- While affordable housing values are a benefit for the region, sprawl threatens county budgets by requiring public services further away from established municipalities. Sprawl also makes it more likely that transportation costs will increase for residents as they have to commute farther to work.

Detailed data on housing can be found on pages 25 through 27.

2.3 Economic Development

State Planning Economic Development Goal: To achieve a growing and balanced economy, consistent with the prudent management of the state's resources, that equitably benefits all segments of the population.

The CSRA region's economy is diverse, and communities typically make concerted efforts to attract new business. However, coordinated economic development planning and promotion could be strengthened, both on a region-wide scale and between proximately-located communities.

- The CSRA RC serves as the region's Economic Development District in coordination with the U.S. Economic Development Administration (EDA), and encourages cooperation between local government officials, community-based organizations, and the private sector. Per EDA requirements, the CSRA RC developed a Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) in 2011.
- The CSRA's job base has shifted significantly in the last two decades. The service sector now accounts for 60 percent of all CSRA jobs, an increase of 20 percent since 1990. The goods-producing sector has declined from 35 percent in 1990 to less than 15 percent of employment today.

- The region's jobs balance is heavily slanted towards the urbanized area. Augusta-Richmond and Columbia Counties account for 78 percent of the CSRA's 233,147 jobs. The urbanized area also accounted for over 90 percent of job growth since 1990. Seven of 11 rural CSRA counties have fewer jobs today than they did in 1990. This corresponds to trends in population, which saw eight of those counties lose residents since 2000.
- Unemployment levels in the CSRA's rural counties have been chronic during the last decade. All rural counties have unemployment rates above the state average (9.7 percent). Three counties (Hancock, Jenkins, and Warren) have unemployment rates of 17 percent or higher. All rural counties meet the criteria of Economically Distressed Areas, according to the federal Public Works and Economic Development Act. The rapid increase in rural unemployment was caused by the closure of major manufacturing employers, which had sustained local economies.
- The CSRA lags behind the state in educational performance, raising concerns about workforce readiness in the new service economy. CSRA scores on the Scholastic Aptitude Test, Georgia High School Graduations Tests, and End-of-Course Assessments all fall below the state average.

Detailed data on economic development can be found on pages 27 through 50.

2.4 Land Use

State Planning Land Use and Transportation Goal: To ensure the coordination of land use planning and transportation planning throughout the state in support of efficient growth and development patterns that will promote sustainable economic development, protection of natural and cultural resources and provision of adequate and affordable housing.

The CSRA is a primarily rural region, with an urban core in the Augusta-Richmond County and Columbia County area. Approximately 88 percent of the region's land area is rural.

- The vast majority of the region's housing and commercial growth has occurred in the urbanized area. This corresponds to population trends, which saw the two urban counties gain 35,509 residents since 2000, while the 11 rural counties saw a net gain of only 433 people. Even that figure masks population decline in much of the area. In fact, eight counties
 Hancock, Jefferson, Jenkins, Lincoln, Taliaferro, Warren, Washington and Wilkes combined to lose 2,550 residents since 2000.
- The growth effect that has occurred in the last three decades (development away from established municipalities) resulted in sprawl beyond cities and city centers.
- While cities and downtown areas still have the largest densities, this is quickly eroding as residents locate into unincorporated areas. Revitalization efforts are critical in stemming city population decline.
- If the trend of growth in unincorporated areas continues, this will result in the region's county governments incurring additional costs of providing public infrastructure (such as water & sewer lines, parks, libraries, etc.) further away from established population centers.

Detailed data on land use can be found on pages 50 through 52.

2.5 Transportation and Community Facilities

State Planning Community Facilities and Services Goal: To ensure the provision of community facilities and services throughout the state to support efficient growth and development patterns that will protect and enhance the quality of life of Georgia's residents.

The region's physical infrastructure is extensive and diverse, featuring state and federal highways, hospitals, facilities to manage solid waste and wastewater, and other resources. Most community facilities are locally operated and maintained.

- The CSRA has a small network of interstates and four-lane U.S. highways that provide east-west and north-south access to regional and national markets. Interstates 20 and 520, as well as U.S. 1 and U.S. 25 link the CSRA's major cities to each other as well as to the state's major cities, such as Atlanta, Macon, and Savannah (Figure 25). However, the highway system does not fully meet needs throughout the region. Combined, the interstates and U.S 1 and U.S. 25 serve only portions of the CSRA, leaving large areas in the northern and southern part of the region without adequate highway infrastructure.
- While the transportation system serves automobiles relatively well, it is less friendly to other users. Many streets are designed only with vehicle traffic in mind, making them unsafe or unpleasant for pedestrians and cyclists. Moreover, development patterns in many cases continue to separate uses and rely on arterial roads to make connections. These two factors limit mobility for many residents and contribute to inactivity and growing obesity levels for children and adults in the region.
- The region's two primary rail freight carriers: Norfolk Southern and CSX Rail Service carry among the lowest volumes of rail freight in the state. Only Augusta-Richmond and Warren Counties have direct connections to major rail freight hubs in Atlanta and Macon.
- Augusta Regional Airport provides regularly-scheduled commercial flights. The airport currently has 21 daily departures and 22 daily arrivals to three major hubs (Atlanta, Charlotte and Dallas) from three carriers (Delta, U.S. Air and American). In calendar year 2010, the annual passenger volume at the Augusta airport was 246,587, compared to 198,489 (24.2 percent increase) in 2009. Between 2005 and 2010, Augusta Regional's growth rate was 57.9 percent, making it one of the fastest growing small commercial services airports in the nation. Air freight information is unavailable.
- Fixed-route public transit in the CSRA is limited to Augusta-Richmond County. Augusta Public Transit operates nine routes from Monday through Saturday, with daily ridership averaging approximately 3,000. The rest of the CSRA is served with demand-response service.
- Most areas of the CSRA outside of the urbanized parts of Columbia and Augusta-Richmond Counties lag in both choice and quality of broadband service. Most of these areas are not served by any land broadband service provider, making slower satellite internet service the only option. The CSRA RC considers broadband the region's top infrastructure priority and has been aggressively pursuing state and federal funding to remedy this deficiency by extending broadband infrastructure to areas of the region that currently lack it.

 Local community facilities such as parks, water and sewage services, public water, libraries, and medical facilities, are mostly located within incorporated municipalities. Access to some public facilities, however, remains a concern as rural county populations are widely dispersed.

Detailed data on transportation and community facilities can be found on pages 52 through 58.

2.6 Natural and Environmental Resources

State Planning Natural and Cultural Resources Goal: To conserve and protect the environmental, natural and cultural resources of Georgia's communities, regions and the state.

The CSRA contains a wealth of natural and environmental resources that provide the region with numerous social, economic, and environmental benefits. However, these same resources are in need of protection if they are to continue providing these benefits.

- Timber resources account for 2.3 million acres in the CSRA, and are a major driver of the region's forest products industry.
- Kaolin, a type of clay, is the major mineral extracted in the region, providing substantial employment in Jefferson and Washington counties. This sector is under pressure from South American kaolin, which is now being exported around the world.
- Farmland accounts for 22.1 percent of the CSRA's land mass, and sustains approximately 5
 percent of the region's employment. The number of farms in the region today is less than half
 the number of farms in operation in 1982, highlighting a trend towards large, industrial-scale
 farming.
- The CSRA contains a number of protected watershed areas in Lincoln, Wilkes, McDuffie, Warren, Burke, and Augusta-Richmond counties. The region's watersheds will need to be monitored to ensure future development does not render them vulnerable.
- The region's river basins and major lakes ensure adequate water supplies. However, continued growth of the urbanized area and out-of-region impacts over the next twenty years will place pressure on these supplies, as well as pollution threats from growth.
- The CSRA has a rich history and counts no less than 184 properties and districts listed in the National Register of Historic Places, including National Historic Landmarks, State Historic Parks and Sites. Most of these resources, however, lack preservation plans.

Detailed data on natural and environmental resources can be found on page 58 through 73.

2.7 Intergovernmental Coordination

State Planning Intergovernmental Coordination Goal: To ensure the coordination of local planning efforts with other local service providers and authorities, with neighboring communities and with state and regional plans and programs.

The CSRA RC, founded in 1962, offers member governments avenues to coordinate planning, economic development, workforce development, and aging services. Other instances of intergovernmental coordination takes place between municipalities within a given county, between counties, from region to region, and with state and federal government agencies.

- The CSRA RC Area Agency on Aging provides consolidated services for seniors (including transportation) for the CSRA.
- The CSRA RC serves as the Economic Development District for the region.
- The CSRA RC serves as the coordinating mechanism for CSRA Unified Development Council (UDC). The UDC is a project-oriented volunteer organization comprised of economic, industrial, and regional development organizations, as well as service and educational institutions representing the entire CSRA. The UDC serves as the marketing arm for the CSRA.
- The CSRA RC serves as the coordinating mechanism for CSRA Unified Development Authority (UDA). The UDA promotes the economic development of the CSRA and encourages cooperation among economic development organizations within the member counties.
- The CSRA RC reviews and comments on applications for federal and state grant, loan, and permit assistance submitted by local governments and other applicants within the region. This is known as the Georgia Intergovernmental Consultation Process (Executive Order 12372), and is intended to offer comment on a proposed project's consistency with local and regional comprehensive plans.
- The CSRA RC develops and maintains the CSRA Regionally Important Resources Plan and the CSRA Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy.